

Argumentation

ÍNDICE DE TÍTULOS

1997-2021(2)

Título	Autor	Año	Volumen	Número	Páginas
'Outdoing Lewis Carroll': Judicial Rhetoric and Acceptable Fictions.	Mathewson, Gwen C.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p233-244
"I Have No Comment": Confrontational Maneuvering by Declaring a Standpoint Unallowed or Indisputable in Spokespersons' Argumentative Replies at the Regular Press Conferences of China's Ministry of Foreign Affairs.	Wu, Peng	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p489-519
"Those are Your Words, Not Mine!" Defence Strategies for Denying Speaker Commitment.	Boogaart, Ronny; Jansen, Henrike; Leeuwen, Maarten van	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p209-235
"Eat your Hamburger!"—"No, I don't Want to!" Argumentation and Argumentative Development in the Context of Dinner Conversation in Twenty Swedish Families.	Brumark, Åsa	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p251-271
"Let Me Tell You Why!". When Argumentation in Doctor–Patient Interaction Makes a Difference.	Rubinelli, Sara; Schulz, Peter J.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p353-375
'Theoretical' and 'Empirical' Reasoning Modes from the Neurological Perspective.	Dolinina, Inga B.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p117-134
A Case Study of Argumentation at Undergraduate Level in History.	Andrews, Richard	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p547-558
A Citation-based Reflection on Toulmin and Argument.	Loui, Ronald P.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p259-266
A Concept Divided: Ralph Johnson's Definition of Argument.	Tindale, Christopher W.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p299-310
A Cross-Cultural Analysis of Argument Predispositions in China: Argumentativeness, Verbal Aggressiveness, Argument Frames, and Personalization of Conflict.	Xie, Yun; Hample, Dale; Wang, Xiaoli	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p265-284
A Cross-Cultural Study of Argument Orientations of Turkish and American College Students: Is Silence Really Golden and Speech Silver for Turkish Students?	Demir, Yeliz; Hample, Dale	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p521-540
A Defense of Conduction: A Reply to Adler.	Blair, J. Anthony	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p109-128
A Functional Analysis of 2004 Ukrainian Presidential Debates.	Benoit, William L.; Klyukovski, Andrew	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p209-225
A Meta-Level Approach to the Problem of Defining 'Critical Thinking'.	Johnson, Ralph H.; Hamby, Benjamin	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p417-430
A New Framework for Teaching Scientific Reasoning.	Giere, Ronald N.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p21-33
A New Light on Non-deductive Argumentation Schemes.	Wohlrapp, Harald	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p341-350
A Normative Pragmatic Perspective on Appealing to Emotions in Argumentation.	Manolescu, Beth Innocenti	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p327-343

A Normative Pragmatic Theory of Exhorting.	Kauffeld, Fred J.; Innocenti, Beth	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p463-483
A Place for Figures of Speech in Argumentation Theory.	Plantin, Christian	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p325-337
A Pragma-Dialectical Analysis of the Inquisition.	Hample, Dale	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p135-150
A Pragma-Dialectical Approach of the Analysis and Evaluation of Pragmatic Argumentation in a Legal Context.	Feteris, Eveline T.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p349-368
A Pragma-dialectical Procedure for a Critical Discussion.	Eemeren, F. H. van; Grootendorst, Rob	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p365-386
A Priori Abduction.	Botting, David	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p167-181
A Review of the Proceedings of the Fourth Tokyo Conference of Argumentation: The Role of Argumentation in Society. (Review).	Ihnen Jory, Constanza	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p121-127
A Survey of 25 Years of Research on Legal Argumentation.	Feteris, E.T.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p355-376
A System of Argumentation Forms in Aristotle.	Wolf, Simon	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p19-40
A. Lodder (1998), On Legal Justification and Dialog Games. Maastricht: Dissertation University of Maastricht. (Book Review).	Starmans, Richard	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p195-199
Accounting for the Appeal to the Authority of Experts.	Goodwin, Jean	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p285-296
Ad Hominem Fallacies, Bias, and Testimony.	Yap, Audrey	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p97-109
Adler Jonathan E. (2002), Belief's Own Ethics Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Pp xv+357. H/b \$45.00. (Book Review).	Woods, John	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p251-253
Advancing Polylogical Analysis of Large-Scale Argumentation: Disagreement Management in the Fracking Controversy.	Aakhus, Mark; Lewiński, Marcin	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p179-207
Affirming a Straw Man: A Reply to Bowles.	Adler, Jonathan E.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p17-26
Agendas, Relevance and Dialogic Ascent.	Woods, John	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p209-221
AI & Law, Logic and Argument Schemes.	Prakken, Henry	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p303-320
Alban Bouvier, L'argumentation philosophique. Étude de sociologie cognitive. Paris: PUF, 1995, 248 pp. (Book Review).	Miéville, Denis	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p505-507
An Early Buddhist Text on Logic: Fang Bian Xin Lun.	Gillon, Brendan S.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p15-25
An Early Renaissance Altarpiece by Domenico Veneziano: A Case of Visual Argumentation?	Rossini, Antonio	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	39-53
An Exploration of Johnson's Sense of 'Argument'.	Hansen, Hans Vilhelm	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p263-276
Ana Patrícia Macedo: The Development of Children's Argument Skills. Ph.D. Dissertation, Royal Holloway, Department of Psychology, University of London, 2011, 362 pp. (Book Review).	Hample, Dale	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p529-531

Analogical Arguments: Inferential Structures and Defeasibility Conditions.	Macagno, Fabrizio; Walton, Douglas; Tindale, Christopher	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p221-243
Analogical Reasoning as a Tool of Epidemiological Investigation.	Cummings, Louise	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p427-444
Analogy Counterarguments: A Taxonomy for Critical Thinking.	Shelley, Cameron	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p223-238
Analyzing Argumentative Discourse from a Rhetorical Perspective: Defining 'Person' and 'Human Life' in Constitutional Disputes Over Abortion.	Schiappa, Edward	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p315-332
Andrea Rocci: Modality in Argumentation—A Semantic Investigation of the Role of Modalities in the Structure of Arguments with an Application to Italian Modal Expressions. Springer, Dordrecht, 2017, xii+488 pp, \$109.00, ISBN: 978-94-024-1061-7. (Book Review).	Finocchiaro, Maurice A.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p603-607
Andrew Aberdein and Ian J. Dove (eds): The Argument of Mathematics (Logic, Epistemology and the Unity of Science, Vol. 30). Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2013, x + 393 pp. (Book Review).	Hitchcock, David	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p245-258
Anecdotal, Statistical, and Causal Evidence: Their Perceived and Actual Persuasiveness.	Hoeken, Hans	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p425-437
Angustus DeMorgan (1806--1871).	Woods, John	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p393-397
Animist Intersubjectivity as Argumentation: Western Shoshone and Southern Paiute Arguments Against a Nuclear Waste Site at Yucca Mountain.	Endres, Danielle	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p183-200
Annotating Argument Schemes.	Visser, Jacky; Lawrence, John; Reed, Chris; Wagemans, Jean; Walton, Douglas.	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p101-139
Antoine Arnauld (1612--1694).	Woods, John	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p31-44
Antonyms and Paradoxes.	Bertocchi, Alessandra	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p113-122
Apocalyptic Argument and the Anticipation of Catastrophe: the Prediction of Risk and the Risks of Prediction.	O'Leary, Stephen D.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p293-314
Applying Recent Argumentation Methods to Some Ancient Examples of Plausible Reasoning.	Walton, Douglas; Tindale, Christopher W.; Gordon, Thomas F.	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p85-119
Are Conductive Arguments Possible?	Adler, Jonathan	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p245-257
Arguing 'for' the Patient: Informed Consent and Strategic Maneuvering in Doctor–Patient Interaction.	Schulz, Peter J.; Rubinelli, Sara	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p423-432
Arguing About Goals: The Diminishing Scope of Legal Reasoning.	Westerman, Pauline	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p211-226
Arguing at Cross-Purposes: Discharging the Dialectical Obligations of the Coalescent Model of Argumentation.	Godden, David M.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p219-244
Arguing Terror.	Salazar, Philippe-Joseph	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p101-115

Arguing to Display Identity.	Hample, Dale; Irions, Amanda L.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p389-416
Arguing Towards Truth: The Case of the Periodic Table.	Weinstein, Mark	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p185-197
Arguing Without Trying to Persuade? Elements for a Non- Persuasive Definition of Argumentation.	Micheli, Raphaël	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p115-126
Argument as Cognition: A Putnamian Criticism of Dale Hample's Cognitive Conception of Argument.	Cummings, Louise	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p331-348
Argument as Inquiry in a Postmodern Context.	Langsdorf, Lenore	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p315-328
Argument by Analogy in Ancient China.	Xie, Yun	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p323-347
Argument by Analogy.	Juthe, A.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p1-27
Argument by Multimodal Metaphor as Strategic Maneuvering in TV Commercials: A Case Study.	Zhang, Chuanrui; Xu, Cihua	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p501-517
Argument from Consequences and the Urge to Polarize.	Godin, Benoît	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p347-365
Argument from Similitude in Martin Luther King, Jr.'s Deliberative Dissent from War.	Ivie, Robert L.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p311-323
Argument in a Nutshell: Condensation as a Transfiguring Mechanism in Argumentative Discourse.	Liu, Yameng	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p43-60
Argument Quality and Cultural Difference.	Siegel, Harvey	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p183-202
Argument Structure and Disciplinary Perspective.	Freeman, James B.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p397-423
Argument, Inference, and Persuasion.	McKeon, Matthew William	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p339-356
Argumentation and Fallacy in the Justification of the 2003 War on Iraq.	Sahlane, Ahmed	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p459-488
Argumentation and Interpersonal Justification.	Goldman, Alvin I.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p155-164
Argumentation and the Challenge of Time: Perelman, Temporality, and the Future of Argument.	Scott, Blake D.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p25-37
Argumentation and Transformation.	Cooke, Maeve	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p81-110
Argumentation as Rational Persuasion.	Blair, J. Anthony	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p71-81
Argumentation as Rule-Justified Claims: Elements of a Conceptual Framework for the Critical Analysis of Argument.	Inbar, Michael	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p27-42
Argumentation Evolved: But How? Coevolution of Coordinated Group Behavior and Reasoning.	Seitz, Fabian	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p237-260
Argumentation in and Across Disciplines: Two Norwegian Cases.	Øgreid, Anne Kristine; Hertzberg, Frøydis	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p451-468
Argumentation in Mencius: A Philosophical Commentary on Haiwen Yang's The World of Mencius. (Book Review).	Niu, Zezhen; Zheng, Shuhong	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p275-284
Argumentation in School Science: Breaking the Tradition of Authoritative Exposition Through a Pedagogy that Promotes Discussion and Reasoning.	Simon, Shirley; Richardson, Katherine	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p469-493

Argumentation Schemes and Historical Origins of the Circumstantial Ad Hominem Argument.	Walton, D. N.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p359-368
Argumentation Schemes in Persuasive Brochures.	Schellens, Peter Jan; De Jong, Menno	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p295-324
Argumentation Theorists Argue that an Ad is an Argument.	Ripley, M. Louise	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p507-519
Argumentation Theory for Mathematical Argument.	Corneli, Joseph; Martin, Ursula; Murray-Rust, Dave; Rino Nesin, Gabriela; Pease, Alison	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p173-214
Argumentation Theory Without Presumptions.	Lewiński, Marcin	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p591-613
Argumentation Through Languages and Cultures.	Plantin, Christian	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p1-7
Argumentation Without Arguments.	Prakken, Henry	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p171-184
Argumentation, the Visual, and the Possibility of Refutation: An Exploration.	Lake, Randall A.; Pickering, Barbara A.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p79-94
Argumentation: The Mixed Game.	Weigand, Edda	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p59-87
Argumentational Integrity: A Training Program for Dealing with Unfair Argumentative Contributions.	Christmann, Ursula; Mischo, Christoph; Flender, Jürgen	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p339-360
Argumentative Bluff in Eristic Discussion: An Analysis and Evaluation.	Laar, J. A. van	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p383-398
Argumentative Competence in Friend and Stranger Dyadic Exchanges.	Cionea, Ioana A.; Piercy, Cameron W.; Bostwick, Eryn N.; Wilson Mumpower, Stacie	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p465-487
Argumentative Ordering of Utterances for Language Generation in Multi-party Human-Computer Dialogue.	Popescu, Vladimir; Caelen, Jean	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p205-237
Argumentative Patterns for Justifying Scientific Explanations.	Wagemans, Jean H. M.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p97-108
Argumentative Patterns in Over-the-Counter Medicine Advertisements.	Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p81-95
Argumentative Patterns in the Political Domain: The Case of European Parliamentary Committees of Inquiry.	Andone, Corina	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p45-60
Argumentative Polylogues in a Dialectical Framework: A Methodological Inquiry.	Lewiński, Marcin; Aakhus, Mark	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p161-185
Argumentative Style: A Complex Notion.	Eemeren, F. H. van	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p153-171
Argumentative Text as Rhetorical Structure: An Application of Rhetorical Structure Theory.	Azar, M.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p97-114
Argumentative Use and Strategic Function of the Expression 'Not for Nothing'.	Jansen, Henrike; Snoeck Henkemans, Francisca	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p143-162
Argumentative Writing Behavior of Graduate EFL Learners.	Abdollahzadeh, Esmaeel; Amini	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p641-661

	Farsani, Mohammad; Beikmohammadi, Maryam				
Arguments by Parallels in the Epistemological Works of Phya pa Chos kyi seng ge.	Hugon, Pascale	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p93-114
Arguments from Expert Opinion and Persistent Bias.	Mizrahi, Moti	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p175-195
Arguments from Ostension.	Marraud, Hubert	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p309-327
Arguments, Meta-arguments, and Metadialogues: A Reconstruction of Krabbe, Govier, and Woods.	Finocchiaro, Maurice	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p253-268
Argumentum ad baculum.	Woods, John	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p493-504
Argumentum ad Verecundiam: New Gender-based Criteria for Appeals to Authority.	Ciurria, Michelle; Altamimi, Khameiel	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p437-452
Aristotle (384--322 B.C.).	Woods, John	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p203-220
Aristotle's Endoxa and Plausible Argumentation.	Vega Renon, Luis	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p95-114
Arne Næss (1912-2009).	Krabbe, Erik	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p527-530
Arsyad Al-Banjari's Dialectical Model for Integrating Indonesian Traditional Uses into Islamic Law: Arguments on Manyanggar, Mambuung Pasilih and Lahang.	Iqbal, Muhammad; Rahman, Shahid	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p73-99
Asymmetrical Analogical Arguments.	Adler, J.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p83-92
Attack, Defense and Counter-Attack in the Inuit Duel Songs of Ammassalik.	Plantin, Christian	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p51-72
Authority-based Argumentative Strategies: A Model for their Evaluation.	Jovičić, Taeda	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p1-24
Bakó, Bernáth, Biróné Kaszás, Györgyjakab and Horváth (eds): Argumentor, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Argumentation and Rhetoric. (Book Review).	Visser, J. C.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p345-346
Barbara Emmel, Paula Resch, and Deborah Tenney (eds.), Argument Revisited; Argument Redefined. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE, 1996. (Book Review).	Hazen, Michael David	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p499-502
Begging the Question in Arguments Based on Testimony.	Walton, Douglas	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p85-113
Begging the Question: A Case Study.	Ritola, Juho	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p1-20
Benoît Frydman and Michel Meyer (eds): Chaïm Perelman (1912- 2012)- De la Nouvelle Rhétorique à la Logique Juridique. Presses Universitaires de France (coll. L'interrogation philosophique), Paris, 2012. (Book Review).	Doury, Marianne; Damette, Eliane	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p445-451
Bermejo-Luque, Lilian. Giving Reasons. A Linguistic-Pragmatic Approach to Argumentation Theory. Springer, Argumentation Library, Dordrecht, 2011, volume 20, 209 pp. (Book Review).	Andone, C.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p291-296
Between Confusion and Boredom in the Study of Visual Argument.	Hariman, Robert	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p239-242
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1995.	Feteris, E.T.; Grootendorst, R.;	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p237-258

	Houtlosser, P.				
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1996.	Feteris, E.T.; Grootendorst, R.; Houtlosser, P.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p407-420
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1997.	Feteris, E.T.; Grootendorst, R.; Houtlosser, P.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p221-232
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1998.	Feteris, E. T.; Grootendorst, R.; Houtlosser, P.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p181-194
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 1999.	Feteris, E.T.; Houtlosser, P.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p347-362
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2000.	Feteris, E.T.; Houtlosser, P.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p231-246
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2001.	Feteris, E.T.; Houtlosser, P.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p537-560
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2002.	Houtlosser, P.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p501-518
Bibliography Argumentation Studies 2003.	Amjarso, B.; Houtlosser, P.; Lewinski, M.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p175-196
Black Box Arguments.	Jackson, Sally	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p437-446
Bondi, Marina (1998, Ed.). Forms of Argumentative Discourse. Per un'analisi linguistica dell'argomentare. CLUEB, Bologna, pp. xiv-274. ISBN 88-491-1096-0. (Book Review).	Marello, Carla	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p255-258
Breaking Out of the Circle.	Caravello, John	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p37-52
Brothers in Arms: Virtue and Pragma-Dialectics.	Gascón, José	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p705-724
Bruce Wayne McKinzie, Objectivity, Communication, and the Foundation of Understanding. New York and London: University Press of America, 1994, p. 158. ISBN 0-8191-9536-7 (cloth); ISBN 0-8191-9537-5 (paper). (Book Review).	Shotter, John	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p493-497
Buddhist Narratives of the Great Debates.	Cabezón, José Ignacio	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p71-92
Building a System for Finding Objections to an Argument.	Walton, Douglas	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p369-391
Burden of Proof Rules in Social Criticism.	Räikkä, Juha	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p463-477
Burdens of Proof and the Case for Unevenness.	Aijaz, Imran; McKeown-Green, Jonathan; Webster, Aness	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p259-282
C. A. Taylor (1996), Defining Science. A Rhetoric of Demarcation. Madison, Wisconsin: University of Wisconsin Press. (Book Review).	Harris, Randy Allen	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p233-235
Call for Papers.	Hatano, Giyoo; Eemeren, F. H. van	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p263-263
Can 'Big' Questions be Begged?	Botting, David	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p23-36

Can Perelman's NR be Viewed as an Ethics of Discourse?	Koren, Roselyne	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p421-431
Case-to-Case Arguments.	Stevens, Katharina	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p431-455
Cattani, Adelino (1995). <i>Discorsi Ingannevoli: argomenti per difendersi, attaccare, divertirsi. Padova: Edizioni GB, 208 pp. (Book Review).</i>	Lo Cascio, Enzo	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p475-480
Cattani, Adelino (2001). <i>Botta e risposta. L'arte della replica, Bologna: Il Mulino, 244 pp. [Spanish translation: Los usos de la retórica, Madrid: Alianza Editorial, 2003.]. (Book Review).</i>	Giri, Simona	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p381-386
Certainty, Reasonableness and Argumentation in Law.	Bertea, Stefano	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p465-478
Challenges and Remedies for Identifying and Classifying Argumentation Schemes.	Anthony, Robert; Kim, Mijung	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p81-113
Character and Knowledge: Learning from the Speech of Experts.	Tindale, Christopher W.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p341-353
Chinese Buddhist Religious Disputation.	Garrett, Mary M.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p195-210
Choice is Not True or False: The Domain of Rhetorical Argumentation.	Kock, Christian	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p61-80
Christian Plantin: Dictionnaire de l'Argumentation. Une Introduction aux Études d'Argumentation. ENS Éditions, Lyon, 2016. (Review).	Tseronis, Assimakis	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p727-734
Christopher W. Tindale, <i>Fallacies and Argument Appraisal. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007, xvii + 218 pp. Series: Critical Reasoning and Argumentation. (Book Review).</i>	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p127-131
Christopher W. Tindale: <i>The Philosophy of Argument and Audience Reception. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015, XII + 244 pp. (Review).</i>	Beresheim, Daniel	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p451-454
Classes of Agent and the Moral Logic of the Pali Canon.	Adam, Martin T.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p115-124
Classification in Mathematics and Biology: Some Recent Trends.	Reed, David	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p59-66
Cochrane Review as a "Warranting Device" for Reasoning About Health.	Jackson, Sally; Schneider, Jodi	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p241-272
Cogency and the Validation of Induction.	Goddu, G. C.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p25-42
Cogency in Motion: Critical Contextualism and Relevance.	Rehg, William	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p39-59
Cognitive Semiotics in Argumentation: A Theoretical Exploration.	Hoven, Paul van den	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p157-176
Comment on 'Constrained Maneuvering: Rhetoric as a Rational Enterprise'.	Schulz, Peter	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p467-471
Comment on Roderic A. Gilre's 'Proof and Dialogue in Aristotle'.	Shenefelt, Michael; White, Heidi	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p465-466
Comments 'Strategic Maneuvering through Persuasive Definitions: Implications for Dialectic and Rhetoric'.	Amjarso, Bilal	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p417-420
Comments On 'Analyzing Argumentative Discourse from a Rhetorical Perspective: Defining "Person" and "Human Life" in Constitutional Disputes Over Abortion'.	Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p333-338
Comments on 'Rhetoric and Dialectic: Some Historical and Legal Perspectives'.	Feteris, Eveline	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p235-239

Comments on ‘Arguing ‘for’ the Patient. Informed Consent and Strategic Maneuvering in Doctor–Patient Interaction’.	Garssen, Bart	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p433-435
Comments on ‘Black Box Arguments’.	Lewiński, Marcin	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p447-451
Comments on ‘Don’t Say That!’.	Rocci, Andrea	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p511-517
Comments on ‘Legitimation and Strategic Maneuvering in the Political Field’.	Andone, Corina	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p419-421
Comments on ‘Nonfallacious Rhetorical Strategies: Lyndon Johnson’s Daisy Ad’.	Mohammed, Dima	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p443-445
Comments on ‘Relevance of Context-Bound Loci to Topical Potential in the Argumentation Stage’.	Andone, C.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p541-543
Comments on ‘Strategic Maneuvering in Direct to Consumer Drug Advertising: A Study in Argumentation Theory and New Institutional Theory’.	Amjarso, Bilal	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p373-375
Comments on ‘Strategic Maneuvering in Mathematical Proofs’.	Jackson, Sally	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p469-472
Comments on ‘Strategic Maneuvering in Political Argumentation’.	Tonnard, Yvon	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p331-334
Comments on ‘Strategic Maneuvering in Question Time in the British House of Commons’.	Zarefsky, David	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p395-397
Comments on ‘Strategic Maneuvering with Dissociation’.	Rubinelli, Sara	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p489-493
Comments on ‘Strategic Maneuvering: A Synthetic Recapitulation’.	Greco Morasso, Sara	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p393-398
Comments on ‘Strategic Manoeuvring with the Intention of the Legislator in the Justification of Judicial Decisions’.	Schulz, Peter J.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p355-357
Comments on ‘Meeting in the House of Callias: Rhetoric and Dialectic’.	Poel, M. van der	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p219-222
Comments on ‘Rhetoric and Dialectic from the Standpoint of Normative Pragmatics’.	Goodwin, Jean	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p287-292
Comments on ‘Rhetoric and Dialectic in the Twenty-First Century’.	Rees, M.A.van	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p255-259
Comments on ‘Rhetorical Analysis Within a Pragma-Dialectical Framework’.	Garver, Eugene	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p307-314
Common Ground, Corrections, and Coordination.	Asher, Nicholas; Gillies, Anthony	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p481-512
Comparing the Actual and Expected Persuasiveness of Evidence Types: How Good are Lay People at Selecting Persuasive Evidence?	Hornikx, Jos	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p555-569
Comparing the Argumentum Model of Topics to Other Contemporary Approaches to Argument Schemes: The Procedural and Material Components.	Rigotti, Eddo; Greco Morasso, Sara	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p489-512
Complex Argumentation in a Critical Discussion.	Henkemans, A.F. Snoeck	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p405-419
Computational Dialogic Defeasible Reasoning.	Causey, Robert L.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p421-450
Conflicting Experts and Dialectical Performance: Adjudication Heuristics for the Layperson.	Matheson, David	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p145-158
Confrontational Maneuvering by Dissociation in Spokespersons’ Argumentative Replies at the Press	Wu, Peng	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p1-22

Conferences of China's Ministry of Foreign Affairs.					
Constrained Maneuvering: Rhetoric as a Rational Enterprise.	Tindale, Christopher	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p447-466
Constructing One's Arguments Based on Refutations of the Other's Discourse. A Study of the Traditional Presidential Debate: Chirac/Jospin (1995) Versus Sarkozy/Royal (2007).	Roitman, Malin	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p19-32
Contemporary Educational Argumentation: A Multimodal Perspective.	Coffin, Caroline	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p513-530
Contradiction in Buddhist Argumentation.	Siderits, Mark	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p125-133
Controversies on, in, Around, and About the Subject. Barrotta P. & Dascal M. (eds): Controversies and Subjectivity. Italian Culture Institute in London, University of Pisa/Tel Aviv. University Controversies 1, 2005. x, 411 pp. ISBN 978 90 272 1881 0/ EUR 125.00, ISBN 978 1 58811 615 4/USD 169.00. (Book Review).	Zemplen, Gabor	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p115-121
Controversy, Context, and Theory: David Zarefsky on Political Argumentation. (Review).	Rowland, Robert C.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p213-215
Conviction, Persuasion, and Argumentation: Untangling the Ends and Means of Influence.	O'Keefe, Daniel J.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p19-32
Corina Andone: Argumentation in Political Interviews: Analyzing and Evaluating Responses to Accusations of Inconsistency. John Benjamins, Amsterdam, 2013. (Book Review).	Goodnight, G. Thomas	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p241-244
Cornelia Ilie, What Else Can I Tell You? A Pragmatic Study of English Rhetorical Questions as Discursive and Argumentative Acts (1994). Doctoral Dissertation, University of Stockholm (Stockholm Studies in English LXXXII). (Book Review).	Slot, Pauline	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p383-386
Correction to: Comment on 'Constrained Maneuvering: Rhetoric as a Rational Enterprise'. (Book Review).	Schulz, Peter J.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p285-285
Correction to: Eddo Rigotti and Sara Greco: Inference in Argumentation. A Topics-Based Approach to Argument Schemes. (Book Review).	Geudens, Christophe	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p403-403
Correction to: Revisiting Accounts of Narrative Explanation in the Sciences: Some Clarifications from Contemporary Argumentation Theory.	Olmos, Paula	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p467-467
Countering Fallacious Moves.	Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser, Peter	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p243-252
Critical Inquiry: Considering the Context.	Battersby, Mark; Bailin, Sharon	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p243-253
Criticism and Intertranslation: The End of Critique in a Democratic Society.	Bannet, Eve Tavor	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p23-34
Criticism in Need of Clarification.	Laar, J. A. van	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p401-423
D. N. Walton, Argument Structure, A pragmatic Theory. Toronto: University of Toronto Press: 1996, xiv + 304 pp. ISBN 0-8020-0768-6. (Book Review).	Vorobej, Mark	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p421-424
D. N. Walton, Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1996, xiv + 218 pp. ISBN 0-8058-2072-8. Blair, Anthony. (Book Review).	Blair, Anthony	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p338-343

Dale Hample: <i>Arguing: Exchanging Reasons Face to Face</i> . Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ, 2005. (Book Review).	Gouran, Dennis S.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p259-263
Dale Hample: <i>Interpersonal Arguing</i> . Peter Lang Publishing, 305 pp. (Book Review).	Weger, Harry	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p605-609
David Bohm (1996), <i>On Dialogue</i> . New York: Routledge.	Chimovitz, David	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p125-127
David Hitchcock (2017): <i>On Reasoning and Argument: Essays in Informal Logic and Critical Thinking</i> . Cham (CH), Springer, (= Argumentation Library, 30) pp, xxvi, 1–553. Foreword by J. Anthony Blair. (Book Review).	Tindale, Christopher W.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p615-620
David Hitchcock and Bart Verheij (eds): <i>Arguing on the Toulmin Model. New Essays in Argument Analysis and Evaluation</i> . Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, vii + 439 pp. (Book Review).	Pluijm, Lester C. van der; Visser, Jacky C.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p527-539
<i>Deceptive Arguments Containing Persuasive Language and Persuasive Definitions</i> .	Walton, Douglas	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p159-186
<i>Deciding, Planning, and Practical Reasoning: Elements towards a Cognitive Architecture</i> .	Perez-Miranda, L.A.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p435-461
<i>Deductivism and the Informal Fallacies</i> .	Jacquette, Dale	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p335-347
<i>Deductivism Within Pragma-Dialectics</i> .	Groarke, Leo	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p1-16
<i>Defining Deduction, Induction, and Validity</i> .	Wilbanks, Jan J.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p107-124
<i>Definite Descriptions in Argument: Gettier's Ten-Coins Example</i> .	Yakubu, Yussif	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p261-274
<i>Design Thinking in Argumentation Theory and Practice</i> .	Jackson, Sally	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p243-263
<i>Dialectical Models of Deliberation, Problem Solving and Decision Making</i> .	Walton, Douglas; Toniolo, Alice; Norman, Timothy J.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p163-205
<i>Dialogical Features of Presumptions: Difficulties for Walton's New Dialogical Theory</i> .	Bodlović, Petar	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p513-534
<i>Dialogue protocols for formal fallacies: A reply to Kacprzak and Yaskorska</i> .	Hodges, Wilfrid	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p371-377
<i>Dialogue Protocols for Formal Fallacies</i> .	Kacprzak, Magdalena; Yaskorska, Olena	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p349-369
<i>Discovering Argumentative Patterns in Energy Polylogues: A Macroscope for Argument Mining</i> .	Musi, Elena; Aakhus, Mark	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p397-430
<i>Disputation by Design</i> .	Jackson, Sally	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p183-198
<i>Dissociation and Presupposition in Discourse: A Corpus Study</i> .	Degano, Chiara	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p361-378
<i>Dissuasion as a Rhetorical Technique of Creating a General Disposition to Inaction</i> .	Danblon, Emmanuelle	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p1-9
<i>Does Arguing from Coherence Make Sense?</i>	Bertea, Stefano	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p433-446
<i>Does the Traditional Treatment of Enthymemes Rest on a Mistake?</i>	Hitchcock, David	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p15-38
Dominicy, Marc & Frédéric, Madeleine (2001). <i>La mise en scène des valeurs – la rhétorique de l'éloge et du blâme</i> . Delachaux et Niestlé, 369 pp. (Book Review).	Plantin, Christian	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p351-354

Don Paul Abbott, Rhetoric in the New World. Rhetorical Theory and Practice in Colonial Spanish America. Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press, 1996. (Book Review).	Zárate-Ruiz, Arturo	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p425-427
Don't say that!	Laar, J. A. van	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p495-510
Douglas N. Walton, A Pragmatic Theory of Fallacy. London/Tuscaloosa: the University of Alabama Press (1995). ISBN 35487-0380 (324 pp). (Book Review).	Johnson, Ralph H.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p115-122
Douglas Walton (1995) Arguments from Ignorance, University Park, PA, The Pennsylvania State University Press, xii & 313 pp. (Book Review).	Wreen, Michael	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p51-55
Douglas Walton (2000), Scare Tactics: Arguments that Appeal to Fear and Threats. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 218 pp. (Book Review).	Pinto, Robert C.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p261-270
Douglas Walton, Dialog Theory for Critical Argumentation. John Benjamins, Amsterdam/Philadelphia, 2007, 307 pp. (Book Review).	Andone, C.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p291-296
Douglas Walton, Fundamentals of Critical Argumentation. University Press, Cambridge, 2006, 343 pp. (Book Review).	Lewiński, M.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p123-126
Douglas Walton: Argument Evaluation and Evidence. Springer, 2016, 286 pp. (Book Review).	Di Bello, Marcello; Verheij, Bart	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p301-307
Doxa and Persuasion in Lexis.	Puig, Luisa	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p127-142
E Contrario Reasoning: The Dilemma of the Silent Legislator.	Jansen, Henrike	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p485-496
E. Feteris, B. Garssen and F. Snoeck Henkemans (eds): Keeping in Touch with Pragma-Dialectics. In Honor of Frans H. van Eemeren. (Book Review).	Hoven, Paul van den	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p409-412
Eddo Rigotti and Sara Greco: Inference in Argumentation. A Topics-Based Approach to Argument Schemes: 2019, Springer, Cham. (Book Review).	Geudens, Christophe	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p399-402
Editor's Introduction.	Campolo, Chris; Turner, Dale	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p1-2
Editor's Introduction.	Brown, Richard Harvey	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p3-7
Editorial.	Eemeren, Frans H. van; Grootendorst, Rob; Wenzel, Joseph W.; Woods, John	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p1-2
Educational Background, Modes of Discourse and Argumentation: Comparing Women and Men.	Cala Carrillo, M. Jesús; De la Mata Benítez, Manuel L.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p403-426
Edward Schiappa (ed.) Warranting Assent: Case Studies in Argument Evaluation. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995. xxviii + 330. (Book Review).	Abbott, Don Paul	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p266-268
Eemeren, Frans H. van, Grootendorst, Rob and Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca (2002). Argumentation. Analysis, Evaluation, Presentation, Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. (Book Review).	Gratton, Claude	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p489-494

Effectiveness Through Reasonableness Preliminary Steps to Pragma-Dialectical Effectiveness Research.	Eemeren, Frans H. van; Garssen, Bart; Meuffels, Bert	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p33-53
Effing the Ineffable: The Logocentric Fallacy in Argumentation.	Gilbert, Michael A.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p21-32
Ekkehard Eggs (HG.) (2002). Topoi, Discours, Arguments. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Verlag. (Book Review).	Doury, Marianne	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p479-482
Elisa Bussi, Marina Bondi and Francesca Gatta (eds.) (1995), Understanding Argument. La logica informale del discorso, Atti del Convegno Forlì, 5–6 dicembre. Biblioteca della Scuola Superiore di Lingue Moderne per Interpreti e Traduttori. Bologna. (Book Review).	Vedder, Ineke	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p56-61
Else Barth (1928-2015).	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p341-343
Emergent vs. Dogmatic Arguing.	Rühl, Marco	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p151-172
Emerging Infectious Diseases: Coping with Uncertainty.	Cummings, Louise	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p171-188
Emmanuelle Danblon, Emmanuel de Jonge, Ekaterina Kissina & Loïc Nicolas (eds): Review of Argumentation et narration. Editions of the University of Brussels, 2008. (Book Review).	Doury, Marianne	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p255-257
Emotional Messages.	Gilbert, Michael A.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p239-250
Emotions as Objects of Argumentative Constructions.	Micheli, Raphaël	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p1-17
Encroachments on State Sovereignty: The Argumentation Strategies of the George W. Bush Administration.	Winkler, Carol	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p473-488
Enthymemes: From Reconstruction to Understanding.	Pagliari, Fabio; Woods, John	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p127-139
Epistemic Normativity, Argumentation, and Fallacies.	Siegel, Harvey; Biro, John	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p277-292
Epistemic Privilege and Expertise in the Context of Meta-debate.	Linker, Maureen	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p67-84
Epstein, Richard L. (2002). Five Ways of Saying 'Therefore'. Arguments, Proofs, Conditionals, Cause and Effect, Explanation. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 380 pp. ISBN 0-534-58066-1. (Book Review).	Gratton, Claude	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p395-398
Equivocation as a Point of Order.	Mackenzie, Jim	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p223-231
Erratum to: Arguing 'for' the Patient: Informed Consent and Strategic Maneuvering in Doctor-Patient Interaction.	Schulz, Peter J.; Rubinelli, Sara	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p481-491
Eugene Garver, For the Sake of Argument: Practical Reasoning, Character, and the Ethics of Belief. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2004, Xi + 272 pp. (Book Review).	Finocchiaro, Maurice	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p109-114
Evaluating Arguments Based on Toulmin's Scheme.	Verheij, Bart	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p347-371
Evaluating Arguments from a Play about Ethics in Science: A Study with Medical Learners.	Archila, Pablo Antonio	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p53-76
Evaluating Complex Collaborative Expertise: The Case of Climate Change.	Rehg, William	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p385-400

Evaluating Corroborative Evidence.	Walton, Douglas; Reed, Chris	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p531-553
Evaluating the Meta-Slope: Is there a Slippery Slope Argument against Slippery Slope Arguments?	Corner, Adam; Hahn, Ulrike	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p349-359
Evaluations of Rebuttal Analogy Users: Ethical and Competence Considerations.	Whaley, Bryan B.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p351-366
Eveline T. Feteris, Fundamental of Legal Argumentation: A Survey of Theories of Justification of Judicial Decisions (1999).	Twining, William	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p223-230
Expert Advice and Argumentation: Some Remarks on the Work of Douglas Walton.	Birrer, Frans A.J.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p267-276
Expertise as Argument: Authority, Democracy, and Problem-Solving.	Majdik, Zoltan P.; Keith, William M.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p371-384
Expertise, Argumentation, and the End of Inquiry.	Gelfert, Axel	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p297-312
Experts and Bias: When is the Interest-Based Objection to Expert Argumentation Sound?	Zenker, Frank	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p355-370
Experts in Dialogue: An Introduction.	Kutrovátz, Gábor; Zemplén, Gábor	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p275-283
F. H. van Eemeren, B. Garssen (eds): Reflections on Theoretical Issues in Argumentation Theory. Springer, 2015, 293 pp. (Review).	Greco, Sara	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p213-220
Facework and Rhetorical Strategies in Intercultural Argumentative Discourse.	Dolinina, Inga B.; Cecchetto, Vittorina	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p167-182
Factors for Evaluating Presumptions and Presumptive Inferences.	Freeman, James B.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p215-240
Factors Predicting the Intent to Engage in Arguments in Close Relationships: A Revised Model.	Cionea, Ioana A.; Richards, Adam S.; Straub, Sara K.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p121-163
Fallacies of Accident.	Botting, David	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p267-289
Fallacies, Blunders, and Dialogue Shifts: Walton's Contributions to the Fallacy Debate.	Tindale, Christopher W.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p341-354
False Dilemma: A Systematic Exposition.	Tomić, Taeda	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p347-368
Fantasy Themes and Rhetorical Visions in the Brent Spar Crisis: A Comparative Analysis of German and French Newspaper Coverage.	Kruse, Julia	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p439-456
Features of Written Argument.	Ross, Donald; Rossen-Knill, Deborah	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p181-205
Fernando Leal Carretero (coord.): Argumentación y Pragma-Dialéctica: Estudios en Honor a Frans van Eemeren. Guadalajara (México), Publicaciones de la Universidad de Guadalajara, 2015, 472 pp. (Review).	Olmos, Paula	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p725-726
File of Fallacies: Alfred Sidgwick: A Little-Known Precursor of Informal Logic and Argumentation.	Walton, Douglas	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p175-180
Finocchiaro, Maurice A. (2005). Arguments about Arguments: Systematic, Critical and Historical	Pinto, Robert C.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p93-100

Essays in Logical Theory, New York: Cambridge University Press. Pp. ix, 467. (Book Review).					
Fisher, Alec and Scriven, Michael (1997). Critical Thinking. Its Definition and Assessment. Edgepress: CA, USA/Centre For Research In Critical Thinking: Norwich, UK. (Book Review).	Glopper, Kees de	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p247-250
Formal Dialectic in Fallacy Inquiry: An Unintelligible Circumscription of Argumentative Rationality?	Cummings, Louise	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p161-184
Formal Logic vs. Philosophical Argument: Within the Stoic Tradition.	Stoianovici, Dragan	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p125-133
Formal Propriety as Rhetorical Norm.	Manolescu, Beth Innocenti	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p113-126
Forms of Authority and the Real Ad Verecundiam.	Goodwin, Jean	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p267-280
Framing and Editing Interpersonal Arguments.	Hample, Dale; Warner, Ben; Young, Dorian	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p21-37
Francis Bacon: Human Bias and the Four Idols.	Walton, Douglas	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p385-389
Frans H. van Eemeren (2012): Maniobras estratégicas en el discurso argumentativo. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas & Editorial Plaza y Valdés (Series 'Theoria cum Praxi', No. 9). Spanish translation, by Cristián Santibáñez and María Elena Molina, of: Frans H. van Eemeren (2010): Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentative Discourse: Extending the Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation, John Benjamins, Amsterdam (Series 'Argumentation in Context', No. 2). (Review).	Leal, Fernando	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p129-132
Frans H. van Eemeren (ed.) (2001), Crucial Concepts in Argumentation Theory. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press. 239 pp. ISBN 90 5356 523X. (Book Review).	Krabbe, Erik C.W.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p355-359
Frans H. van Eemeren and A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans: Argumentation: Analysis and Evaluation. 2nd Edition, Routledge, New York and London, 2017, 174 pp. (Book Review).	Greco, Sara	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p151-153
Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (Eds.): From Argument Schemes to Argumentative Relations in the Wild: A Variety of Contributions to Argumentation Theory: Cham (CH), Springer (= Argumentation Library, 35), 2020, 289 pp. (Book Review).	Leal, Fernando	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p389-397
Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (eds): Pondering on Problems of Argumentation: Twenty Essays on Theoretical Issues. (Review).	Weger, Harry	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p115-122
Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (eds): Topical Themes in Argumentation Theory: Twenty Exploratory Studies. (Book Review).	Aakhus, Mark	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p489-492
Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser (Eds.) (2002). Dialectic and Rhetoric: The Warp and Woof of Argumentation Analysis. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 3–167. ISBN 1-4020-0703-5. (Book Review).	Johnson, R. H.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p483-488
Frans H. van Eemeren and Wu Peng (eds): Contextualizing Pragma-Dialectics. John Benjamins, Amsterdam/Philadelphia, 2017. Volume 12 of the Argumentation in Context Series, ix, 367 pp, ISBN: 9789027211293 (hardback), €99.00, ISBN: 9789027264800 (eBook), €99.00. (Book Review).	Jackson, Sally	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p293-299

Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen, Erik C.W. Krabbe, A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans, Bart Verheij and Jean H.M. Wagemans: <i>Handbook of Argumentation Theory</i> . Springer, Dordrecht, 2014, ISBN: 978-90-481-9472-8 (hardback), ISBN: 978-90-481-9473-5 (eBook), ISBN: 978-90-481-9474-2 (print and electronic bundle). (Review).	Haaften, Ton van	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p345-351
Frans H. van Eemeren, Peter Houtlosser, A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans: <i>Argumentative Indicators in Discourse. A Pragma-Dialectical Study</i> . Springer: Dordrecht, 2007, ix, 234 pp. (Book Review).	Kienpointner, Manfred	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p519-524
Frans H. Van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst and Francisca Snoeck Henkemans et alia, <i>Fundamentals of Argumentation Theory: A Handbook of Historical Background and Contemporary Developments</i> . Mahwah, N.J., U.S.A.: Erlbaum, 1996. ISBN 0-8058-1861-8/0-8058-1862-6 (pbk.). (424 pp.). (Book Review).	Kienpointner, Manfred	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p127-133
Frans H. van Eemeren: <i>Argumentation Theory: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective</i> . Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Cham, 2018, Volume 33 of the <i>Argumentation Library</i> , 193 pp, ISBN: 1566-7650. (Book Review).	Wu, Peng	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p609-614
Frans H. van Eemeren: <i>Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentative Discourse. Extending the Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation</i> . John Benjamins Publishing Company, <i>Argumentation in Context (AIC)</i> , Vol. 2, Amsterdam/Philadelphia, 2010, XII + 308 pp. (Review).	Rigotti, Eddo	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p261-270
Frans van Eemeren, Bart Garssen, & Bert Meuffels: <i>Fallacies and Judgments of Reasonableness: Empirical Research Concerning the Pragma-Dialectical Discussion Rules</i> . Springer, Dordrecht, 2009, Volume 16 of the <i>Springer Argumentation Library</i> . ISBN: 978-90-481-2613-2. DOI: 10.1007/978-90-481-2614-9. HB: \$139.00. (Book Review).	Hample, Dale	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p375-381
<i>Freedom And Responsibility In Constructing Public Life: Toward A Revised Ethic Of Discourse.</i>	Klumpp, James F.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p113-130
<i>From 'Is' to 'Ought' in Moral Epistemology.</i>	Sinnott-Armstrong, Walter	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p159-174
<i>From Argument to Assertion.</i>	Kochin, Michael Shalom	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p387-396
<i>From Connectives to Argumentative Markers: A Quest for Markers of Argumentative Moves and of Related Aspects of Argumentative Discourse.</i>	Tseronis, Assimakis	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p427-447
<i>From Figure to Argument: Contrarium in Roman Rhetoric.</i>	Kraus, Manfred	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p3-19
<i>From Logos to Pathos in Social Psychology and Academic Argumentation: Reconciling Postmodernism and Positivism in a Sociology of Persuasion.</i>	Berbrier, Mitchell	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p35-50
Gary Colwell (1996). <i>You won't believe this, but . . . Responding to student complaints and excuses</i> . Calgary, Alberta: Detselig Enterprises. (Book Review).	Gilbert, Michael A.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p391-392
Geert-Lueke Lueken (Hg.) (2000). <i>Formen der Argumentation</i> . Leipzig: Leipziger Universitätsverlag, 237 pp. (Book Review).	Kienpointner, Manfred	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p271-277
<i>Giving Science a Bad Name: Politically and Commercially Motivated Fallacies in BSE Inquiry.</i>	Cummings, Louise	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p123-143

Goals in Argumentation: A Proposal for the Analysis and Evaluation of Public Political Arguments.	Mohammed, Dima	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p221-245
Going Multimodal: What is a Mode of Arguing and Why Does it Matter?	Groarke, Leo	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p133-155
Goldman, Alvin I. (1999), Knowledge in a Social World. Oxford: Clarendon Press of Oxford University Press. x + 407 pp. (Book Review Essay).	Siegel, Harvey	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p369-382
Good News for the Logical Autonomy of Ethics.	Hill, Scott	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p277-283
Good Reasoning on the Toulmin Model.	Hitchcock, D.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p373-391
Grice's Analysis of Utterance-Meaning and Cicero's Catilinarian Apostrophe.	Kauffeld, Fred J.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p239-257
Group Emotions in Collective Reasoning: A Model.	Polo, Claire; Plantin, Christian; Lund, Kristine; Niccolai, Gerald	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p301-329
Hansen, Hans V. and Robert C. Pinto (eds.), Fallacies. Classical and Contemporary Readings. University Park, Pennsylvania: Penn State Press, 1995. (Book Review).	Groarke, Leo	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p497-501
Harald R. Wohlrapp: The Concept of Argument: A Philosophical Foundation. Logic, Argumentation and Reasoning 4. Springer, Dordrecht, 2014, pp. lxii + 443, hardcover US\$179, eBook \$139, softcover \$24.99, ISBN: 978-94-017-8761-1 (print), 978-017-8762-8 (online). (Review).	Hitchcock, David	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p353-363
Harald Wohlrapp (Ed.), Wege der Argumentationsforschung. Stuttgart: Frommann Holzboog, 1995. (Book Review).	Kindt, W.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p386-391
Harald Wohlrapp's 'Der Begriff des Arguments'. (Book Review).	Hoppmann, Michael	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p297-304
Henrique J. Ribeiro (ed): Inside Arguments. Logic and the Study of Argumentation. Cambridge Scholars. (Book Review).	Greco Morasso, Sara	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p453-458
Henrique Jales Ribeiro (Ed.): Rhetoric and Argumentation in the Beginning of the XXIst Century. Coimbra University Press, Coimbra, 2009, 312 pp.	Andone, C.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p513-518
Henrique Jales Ribeiro (ed): Systemic Approaches to Argument by Analogy. Argumentation Library Volume 25. Springer, Dordrecht, 2014. (Review).	Kloosterhuis, Harm	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p217-220
Henrique Jales Ribeiro: Retórica, Argumentação e Filosofia. Estudos Sistemáticos e Histórico-Filosóficos. MinervaCoimbra, Coimbra, 2016, pp. 171. (Book Review).	Damele, Giovanni	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p297-299
Henry Prakken (1997), Logical Tools for Modelling Legal Argument. A Study of Defeasible Reasoning in Law. Dordrecht/Boston/London: Kluwer. (Book Review).	Alexy, Robert	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p66-72
Higher-Order Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentation.	Mitchell, Gordon R.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p319-335
Hilary Putnam's Dialectical Thinking: An Application to Fallacy Theory.	Cummings, Louise	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p197-230
Historical Origins of Argumentum ad Consequentiam.	Walton, Douglas	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p251-264
Holding One's Own.	Aikin, Scott	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p571-584

Hoppmann, Michael J.: <i>Argumentative Verteidigung. Grundlegung zu einer modernen Statuslehre. [Argumentative Advocacy. Foundations of a Modern Stasis Theory.]</i> . 2008. (Book Review).	Plötz, Matthias	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p525-526
How Computational Tools Can Help Rhetoric and Informal Logic with Argument Invention.	Walton, Douglas; Gordon, Thomas F.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p269-295
How Critical is the Dialectical Tier?	Liang, Qingyin; Xie, Yun	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p229-242
How do Culture, Individual Traits, and Context Influence Koreans' Interpersonal Arguing? Toward a More Comprehensive Analysis of Interpersonal Arguing.	Kim, Youllee; Chung, Sungeun; Hample, Dale	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p117-141
How Morality Can Be Absent from Moral Arguments.	De Mesel, Benjamin	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p443-463
How to Distinguish Good and Bad Arguments: Dialogico-Rhetorical Normativity.	Slob, Wouter H.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p179-196
I'm Just Saying . . .': Discourse Markers of Standpoint Continuity.	Craig, Robert T.; Sanusi, Alena L.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p425-445
Identifying Argumentative Patterns: A Vital Step in the Development of Pragma-Dialectics.	Eemeren, F. H. van	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p1-23
Image Events, the Public Sphere, and Argumentative Practice: The Case of Radical Environmental Groups.	Delicath, John W.; Deluca, Kevin Michael	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p315-334
Images as Arguments: Progress and Problems, a Brief Commentary.	Godden, David	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p235-238
In Context.	Eemeren, Frans H. van	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p141-161
In Memoriam Rob Grootendorst (1944–2000).	Eemeren, Frans H. van	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	piii-v
In Search of Criteria for 'Fallacies' and 'Begging the Question'.	Ikuenobe, Polycarp	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p421-442
In the Field – The Development of Reasons in Criminal Proceedings.	Hannken-Illjes, Kati	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p309-325
In What Sense Do Modern Argumentation Theories Relate to Aristotle? The Case of Pragma-Dialectics.	Eemeren, F. H. van	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p49-70
Individual Differences in the Interpretation of Commitment in Argumentation.	Ricco, Robert; Sierra, Anthony	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p37-61
Influence Opportunities and the Development of Argumentation Competencies in Childhood.	Kline, Susan L.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p367-386
Institutional Argumentation and Institutional Rules: Effects of Interactive Asymmetry on Argumentation in Institutional Contexts.	Thompson, Mark Andrew	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p1-21
Institutional Insights for Analysing Strategic Manoeuvring in the British Prime Minister's Question Time.	Mohammed, Dima	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p377-393
Interpretative Disputes, Explicatures, and Argumentative Reasoning.	Macagno, Fabrizio; Capone, Alessandro	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p399-422
Interpreting Perelman's Universal Audience: Gross versus Crosswhite.	Jørgensen, Charlotte	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p11-19
Intrinsic Versus Instrumental Values of Argumentation: The Rhetorical Dimension of Argumentation.	Bermejo-Luque, Lilian	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p453-474

Introduction for a Special Volume of Argumentation on Presumptions, Presumptive Inferences and Burdens of Proof.	Bermejo-Luque, Lilian; Corredor, Cristina	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p463-467
Introduction to Special Issue of Argumentation Originating in a Conference at The University of Texas at Austin.	Braybrooke, David	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p361-363
Introduction: Argument Studies in Poland.	Budzynska, Katarzyna; Koszowy, Marcin	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p259-266
Introduction: Buddhist Argumentation.	Tillemans, Tom J. F.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p1-14
Introduction: Of Place and Time.	Tindale, Christopher W.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p1-11
Introduction: Rhetoricians on Argumentation.	Kock, Christian	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p278-295
Introduction: Special Issue on Argumentation in Education in Scandinavia and England.	Andrews, Richard; Hertzberg, Frøydis	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p433-436
Introduction.	Amossy, R.; Koren, R.; Yanoshevsky, G.	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p293-300
Introduction.	Eemeren, Frans H. van; Houtlosser, Peter	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p201-203
Introduction.	Nettel, Ana Laura; Roque, Georges	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p1-17
Introduction.	Ribeiro, Henrique Jales	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p1-6
Introduction.	Tindale, Christopher W.; Hansen, Hans V.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p141-146
Is Logic the Key to All Good Reasoning?	Hintikka, Jaakko	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p35-57
Is Modernism Really Modern? Uncovering a Fallacy in Postmodernism.	Harpine, William D.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p349-358
It Isn't The Thought That Counts.	Solomon, Miriam	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p67-75
J. Anthony Blair (2012): Groundwork in the Theory of Argumentation. Selected Papers of J. Anthony Blair. (Book Review).	Freeman, James B.	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p505-527
J. Anthony Blair and Ralph H. Johnson (eds): Conductive Argument: An Overlooked Type of Defeasible Reasoning. College Publications, London, 2011. (Book Review).	Laar, J. A. van	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p337-344
J. Anthony Blair: Groundwork in the Theory of Argumentation: Selected Papers of J. Anthony Blair. Introduction by Christopher W. Tindale. Argumentation Library, Vol. 21. Springer, Dordrecht, 2012, XXII + 358 p. (Review).	Plantin, Christian	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p379-388
Jacobus C. (Jacky) Visser, A Dialogue Game for Critical Discussion: Groundwork in the Formalisation and Computerisation of the Pragma-Dialectical Model of Argumentation. Dissertation, University of	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p457-460

Amsterdam. Amsterdam Center for Language and Communication, Amsterdam, xiv + 153 pp. (Book Review).					
Jain Philosophers in the Debating Hall of Classical India.	Gorisse, Marie-Hélène	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p35-49
James A. Herrick. The Radical Rhetoric of the English Deists: The Discourse of Skepticism, 1680–1750. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1997, ix + 245. (Book Review).	Adams, John C.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p119-120
James B. Freeman (2005). Acceptable Premises: An Epistemic Approach to an Informal Logic Problem. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, xiv + 401 pp. (Book Review).	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p101-113
Jan Willem Wieland: Infinite Regress Arguments. Springer Briefs in Philosophy. Springer Verlag, Cham, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, 2014, vi + 68 pp, Softcover €53.49; £44.99; \$54.99, ISBN: 978-3-319-06205-1. (Review).	Jacquette, Dale	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p351-360
Janet M. Atwill, Rhetoric Reclaimed: Aristotle and The Liberal Arts Tradition. Ithaca: Cornell University Press (1998) (xviii + 235 pp). (Book Review).	Poulakos, John	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p45-47
Jean Wagemans: Redelijkheid en overredingskracht van argumentatie. Een historisch-filosofische studie over de combinatie van het dialectische en het retorische perspectief op argumentatie in de pragma-dialectische argumentatietheorie (Reasonableness and Persuasiveness of Argumentation. An Historical-Philosophical Study on the Combination of the Dialectical and Rhetorical Perspective on Argumentation in the Pragma-Dialectical Argumentation Theory). (Review).	Gillaerts, Paul	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p123-125
Jean-Blaise Grize (1996). Logique naturelle & communications. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France. (Book Review).	Maier, Robert	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p343-346
Johan van Benthem, Frans H. van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst and Frank Veltman (eds.), Logic and Argumentation (1996). Amsterdam: North-Holland. (Book Review).	Binkley, Robert W.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p508-512
John Stuart Mill (1806--1873).	Woods, John	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p317-334
John Woods, Paradox and Paraconsistency: Conflict Resolution in the Abstract Sciences(2003). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. xviii+362, ISBN 0-521-81094-9 (cloth), 0-521-00934-0 (paper). (Book Review).	Krabbe, Erik C.W.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p495-499
John Woods: Errors of Reasoning: Naturalizing the Logic of Inference (Studies in Logic, Vol. 45). College Publications, London, 2013, xviii + 572 pp, \$23.41, ISBN: 978-1-84890-114-8. (Book Review).	Finocchiaro, Maurice	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p231-239
Johnson and the Soundness Doctrine.	Botting, David	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p501-525
Johnson on the Metaphysics of Argument.	Groarke, Leo	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p277-286
Jonathan E. Adler and Lance J. Rips (Eds): Reasoning: Studies of Human Inference and Its Foundations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, ISBN: 978-0-521-84815-2 (hardback). (Book Review).	Ritola, Juho	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p493-500
Josina M. Makau and Debian L. Marty (eds.), Cooperative Argumentation: A Model of Deliberative Community (2001). Waveland Press, Inc., Prospect Heights, Illinois, 295 pp. Paper: ISBN 1-57766-	Tindale, Christopher	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p257-262

139-7, US \$19.95. (Book Review).					
Just How Correct is Political Correctness? A Critique of the Opposition's Arguments.	Ayim, Maryann	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p445-480
Justification, Discovery, Reason & Argument.	Wright, Larry	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p97-104
Justifying My Position in Your Terms: Cross-cultural Argumentation in a Globalized World.	Liu, Yameng	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p297-315
Kati Hannken-Illjes: Argumentation. Einführung in die Theorie und Analyse der Argumentation. Narr/Francke/Attempto: Tübingen, 2018, 193 pp. (Book Review).	Kienpointner, Manfred	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p147-151
Kienpointner, Manfred (1996). Vernünftig argumentieren. Regeln und Techniken der Diskussion [Reasonable argumentation. Rules and techniques of discussion]. Reinbek bei Hamburg: Rowohlt. (Book Review).	Garssen, Bart	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p259-262
Klatt, Matthias, Theorie der Wortlautgrenze, Studien zur Rechtsphilosophie und Rechtstheorie 38, Nomos Verlag, Baden-Baden 2004, 313 pp. Forthcoming in English (Oxford, Hart Publishing) 2006. (Book Review).	Jansen, Henrike	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p509-518
Laypeople's Evaluation of Arguments: Are Criteria for Argument Quality Scheme-Specific?	Schellens, Peter Jan; Šorm, Ester; Timmers, Rian; Hoeken, Hans	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p681-703
Leah Ceccarelli (2001) Shaping Science with Rhetoric: The cases of Dobzhansky, Schrödinger, and Wilson. University of Chicago Press. 182 pp + notes and index. ISBN: 0-226-09907-5. (Book Review).	Keith, William	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p123-126
Learning by Arguing About Evidence and Explanations.	Dowell, John; Asgari-Targhi, Marzieh	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p217-233
Legal and Philosophical Fictions: At the Line Where the Two Become One.	Dzialo, Michael G.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p217-232
Legal Audiences.	Shecaira, Fábio Perin; Struchiner, Noel	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p273-291
Legal Facts in Argumentation-Based Litigation Games.	Xiong, Minghui; Zenker, Frank	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p197-211
Legal Practices and the Reason of the Law.	Nutting, Kurt	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p111-131
Legal Progress Through Pragma-Dialectics? Prospects Beyond Analogy and E Contrario.	Kaptein, Hendrik	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p497-507
Legitimation and Strategic Maneuvering in the Political Field.	İeçcu-Fairclough, Isabela	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p399-417
Leo A. Groarke, Christopher W. Tindale, and Linda Fisher, Good Reasoning Matters! A constructive approach to critical thinking (1997). Toronto, New York, Oxford: Oxford University Press. xvii + 362 pages. ISBS 0195412557. Listed for \$27.50 at amazon.com. (Book Review).	Hoaglund, John	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p236-238
Leonard Nelson: A Theory of Philosophical Fallacies. Translated by Fernando Leal and David Carus (Argumentation Library, Vol. 26) Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2016, vi + 211 pp. (Review).	Aberdein, Andrew	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p455-461
Lesser Evil Reasoning and its Pitfalls.	Spielthener, Georg	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p139-152

Logic and Rhetoric in Legal Argumentation: Some Medieval Perspectives.	Hohmann, Hanns	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p39-56
Logical Argument Structures in Decision-making.	Macoubrie, Jane	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p291-314
Logical Cornerstones of Judaic Argumentation Theory.	Schumann, Andrew	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p305-326
Logical, Semantic and Cultural Paradoxes.	Orlandini, Anna	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p65-86
Logically Incorrect Arguments.	Svoboda, Vladimír; Peregrin, Jaroslav	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p263-287
Looking for Arguments.	Mercier, Hugo	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p305-324
M. A. Finocchiaro, Defending Copernicus and Galileo: Critical Reasoning in the Two Affairs. (Review).	Wagemans, Jean H. M.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p271-274
M. A. Gilbert: Arguing with People. Broadway Press, Canada, 2014, 137 pp. (Book Review).	Andone, Corina	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p461-462
M. Spranzi, The art of dialectic between dialogue and rhetoric: The Aristotelian tradition. (Book Review).	Wagemans, Jean H. M.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p89-92
Making Connections: Categorisations and Particularisations in Students' Literary Argument.	Rødnes, Kari Anne	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p531-546
Manifest Rationality Reconsidered: Reply to my Fellow Symposiasts.	Johnson, Ralph H.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p311-332
Manoeuvring Strategically with Praeteritio.	Snoeck Henkemans, Arnolda Francisca	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p339-350
Marcello Pera (1994), The Discourses of Science (translated by Clarissa Botsford). Chicago: University of Chicago Press. (Book Review).	Pinto, Robert C.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p60-66
Marcin Lewinski: Internet Political Discussion Forums as an Argumentative Activity Type. A Pragm-dialectical Analysis of Online Forms of Strategic Manoeuvring in Reacting Critically. Dissertation University of Amsterdam, SicSat, Amsterdam, 2010. (Review).	Hoven, Paul van den	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p255-259
Marianne Doury, Le débat immobile. L'argumentation dans le débat médiatique sur les parasciences. Paris: Kimé, 1997. (Book Review).	Bonnafoous, Simone	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p507-508
Mark Vorobej (2006): A Theory of Argument.	Laar, J. A. van	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p285-290
Maroun Aouad, Averroès (Ibn Rus'd), Commentaire moyen à la Rhétorique d'Aristote. Édition critique du texte arabe et traduction française. – Vol. I: Introduction générale. Vol. II: Édition et traduction. – Vol. III: Commentaire du Commentaire. Paris: Vrin, "Textes et traditions" series, 3 volumes, 2002: X, 501 pp.; 352 pp. (2); 450 pp. (Book Review).	Dichy, Joseph	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p197-203
Maroun Aouad, Averroès (Ibn Rušd), Commentaire moyen à la Rhétorique d'Aristote. Edition critique du texte arabe et traduction française. Vol. I: Introduction générale. Vol. II: Edition et traduction. Vol. III: Commentaire du Commentaire. Vrin, Paris, "Textes et traditions" series, 3 Volumes, 2002, X, 501 pp; 352 pp (32); 450 pp. (Book Review).	Dichy, Joseph	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p297-303

Maurice A. Finocchiaro: Meta-argumentation: An Approach to Logic and Argumentation Theory. Studies in Logic series volume 42, College Publications, London, 2013, viii + 279 pp, Softcover £12.00, €14.00, \$19.00, ISBN: 978-1-84890-097-4. (Book Review).	Jacquette, Dale	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p221-230
Maurice Finocchiaro (1997). Galileo on the World Systems: A New Abridged Translation and Guide. Berkeley/Los Angeles/London: University of California Press. (Book Review).	Garver, Eugene	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p335-337
Medieval Disputationes de obligationibus as Formal Dialogue Systems.	Uckelman, Sara L.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p143-166
Meeting in the House of Callias: Rhetoric and Dialectic.	Krabbe, Erik C.W.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p205-217
Mencius's Strategies of Political Argumentation.	Xiong, Minghui; Yan, Linqiong	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p365-389
Message Framing, Normative Advocacy and Persuasive Success.	Corner, Adam; Hahn, Ulrike	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p153-163
Metadialogues for Resolving Burden of Proof Disputes.	Walton, Douglas	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p291-316
Metadialogues: Krabbe's Immanent Dialectic.	Houtlosser, Peter; van Laar, J. A.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p205-208
Metaphor as Argument: Rhetorical and Epistemic Advantages of Extended Metaphors.	Oswald, Steve; Rihs, Alain	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p133-159
Michael A. Gilbert and Lawrence Erlbaum, Coalescent Argumentation. Mahwah, NJ, 1997. (Book Review).	Warnick, Barbara	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p428-430
Mill's Fallacies: Theory and Examples.	Secor, Marie	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p295-314
Millgram, Elijah (ed.), Varieties of Practical Reasoning (2001). Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. (Book Review).	Feteris, Eveline T.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p251-256
Modality and its Conversational Backgrounds in the Reconstruction of Argumentation.	Rocci, Andrea	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p165-189
Modeling Corroborative Evidence: Inference to the Best Explanation as Counter-Rebuttal.	David Godden	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 2	p187-220
Modeling the Protagonist: The Strategic Use of Discourse Voices.	Hoven, Paul van den	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p475-487
Modus Tonens.	Aikin, Scott; Talisse, Robert	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p521-529
Molly Meijer Wertheimer (ed.), Listening to Their Voices: The Rhetorical Activities of Historical Women (1997). Columbia, South Carolina, U.S.A.: University of South Carolina Press, ISBN 1-57003-171-1/1-57003-172-X (pbk) (408 pp.). (Book Review).	Verbiest, Agnes	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p238-242
Monologic and Dialogic Styles of Argumentation: A Bakhtinian Analysis of Academic Debates between Mainland China and Taiwan.	Yu, Tzu-Hsiang; Wen, Wei-Chun	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p369-380
Monotonicity and Reasoning with Exceptions.	Zenker, Frank	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p227-236
Monotonicity in Practical Reasoning.	Ferguson, Kenneth G.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p335-346
Moral and Logical Perspectives on Appealing to Pity.	Kimball, Robert H.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p331-346
Multimodal Argumentation and Rhetoric in Media Genres. (Book Review).	Huang, Lue; Zhang, Chuanrui	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p455-458

Multiple Audiences as Text Stakeholders: A Conceptual Framework for Analyzing Complex Rhetorical Situations.	Palmieri, Rudi; Mazzali-Lurati, Sabrina	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p467-499
Must a Successful Argument Convert an Ideal Audience?	Hu, Xingming	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p165-177
N. Muller Mirza and A.-N. Perret-Clermont (eds): Argumentation and Education: Theoretical Foundations and Principles. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. (Book Review).	Andrews, Richard	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p253-254
Narration as Argument. Paula Olmos, Editor. Springer, Argumentation Library 31, Cham, Switzerland, 2017, xii, pp. 1–234, ISBN: 978-3-319-56882-9. (Book Review).	Blair, J. Anthony	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p137-145
Narrative and Persuasion in Victor Hugo's Claude Gueux.	Carel, Marion	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p143-159
Negotiating Problems of Written Argumentation.	Igland, Mari-Ann	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p495-511
Negotiation and Deliberation: Grasping the Difference.	Ihnen Jory, Constanza	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p145-165
Negotiation, Persuasion and Argument.	Provis, Chris	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p95-112
Neither Naïve nor Critical Reconstruction: Dispute Mediators, Impasse, and the Design of Argumentation.	Aakhus, Mark	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p265-290
New Roles for Rhetoric: From Academic Critique to Civic Affirmation.	Brown, Richard Harvey	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p9-22
No Place for Compromise: Resisting the Shift to Negotiation.	Godden, David; Casey, John	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p499-535
Non-Inferential Aspects of Ad Hominem and Ad Baculum.	Budzynska, Katarzyna; Witek, Maciej	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p301-315
Non-monotonicity and Informal Reasoning: Comment on Ferguson (2003).	Oaksford, Mike; Hahn, Ulrike	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p245-251
Nonfallacious Rhetorical Strategies: Lyndon Johnson's Daisy Ad.	Jacobs, Scott	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p421-442
Nothing Persuades Like Success: Reflections on Partially and Over-Successful Persuasion. A Reply to Debowska-Kozłowska. Comment to: Processing Topics from the Beneficial Cognitive Model in Partially and Over-Successful Persuasion Dialogues.	Pagliari, Fabio	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p341-348
Nyan, Thanh (1998). Metalinguistic Operators. With Reference to French. Bern: Peter Lang. (Book Review).	Doury, Marianne	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p121-125
Obituary: In Memoriam.	Woods, John	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p459-463
Obituary: Michael Leff (1941–2010).	Zarefsky, David	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p403-404
Obituary: Stephen Edelston Toulmin.	Hitchcock, David	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p399-401
Objective Evaluation of Demonstrative Arguments.	Trouche, Emmanuel; Shao, Jing; Mercier, Hugo	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p23-43
Old and New Fallacies in Port-Royal Logic.	Dufour, Michel	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p241-267

On A Different Ground: From Contests Between Monologues To Dialogical Contest.	Shotter, John	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p95-112
On Argumentation Schemes and the Natural Classification of Arguments.	Katzav, J.; Reed, C. A.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p239-260
On Defining 'Argument': Comments on Goodman.	Svoboda, Vladimír	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p537-542
On Defining 'Argument'.	Goodman, Jeffrey	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p589-602
On Gratton's Infinite Regress Arguments. (Review).	Wieland, Jan	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p107-113
On How to Get Beyond the Opening Stage.	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p233-242
On Name-Dropping: The Mechanisms Behind a Notorious Practice in Social Science and the Humanities.	Kray, Thorn-R.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p423-441
On Some Aristotelian Sources of Modern Argumentation Theory.	Rapp, Christof; Wagner, Tim	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p7-30
On the Argumentative Strength of Indirect Inferential Conditionals.	Verbrugge, Sara; Smessaert, Hans	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p337-362
On the Art of Finding Arguments: What Ancient and Modern Masters of Invention Have to Tell Us About the "Ars Inveniendi".	Kienpointner, Manfred	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p225-236
On the Concept, Function, Scope, and Evaluation of Justification(s).	Steinhoff, Uwe	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p79-106
On the Differences Between Practical and Cognitive Presumptions.	Bodlović, Petar	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p287-320
On the Importance of Questioning Within the Ideal Model of Critical Discussion.	Leal, Fernando	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p405-431
On the Norms of Visual Argument: A Case for Normative Non-revisionism.	Godden, David	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p395-431
On the Puzzling Death of the Sanctity-of-Life Argument.	Stevens, Katharina	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p55-81
On the Rationale for Distinguishing Arguments from Explanations.	McKeon, Matthew	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p283-303
On the Theoretical Unification and Nature of Fallacies.	Ikuenobe, Polycarp	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p189-212
Paradoxes in Aulus Gellius.	Garcea, Alessandro	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p87-98
Paradoxes in the Argumentation of the Comic Double and Classemic Contradiction.	García-Hernández, Benjamín	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p99-112
Parmenides as Secret Hero. Gregor Betz's Theorie Dialektischer Strukturen (Theory of Dialectical Structures). Vittorio Klostermann, Frankfurt am Main, 2010, 292 pp, ISBN: 978-3-465-03629-6, EUR 49.00 (Bound Paperback). (Book Review).	Zenker, Frank	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p513-525
Peirce Knew Why Abduction Isn't IBE—A Scheme and Critical Questions for Abductive Argument.	Yu, Shiyang; Zenker, Frank	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p569-587
People Who Argue Ad Hominem Are Jerks' and Other Self-Fulfilling Fallacies.	Veber, Michael	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p201-212
Perelman, ad Hominem Argument, and Rhetorical Ethos.	Leff, Michael	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p301-311
Perelman's Audience Revisited: Towards the Construction of a New Type of Audience.	Yanoshevsky, Galia	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p409-419

Perelman's Pseudo-Argument as Applied to the Creationism Controversy.	Haarscher, Guy	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p361-373
Perspective-dependence and Critical Thinking.	Bohlin, Henrik	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p189-203
Persuasion or Alignment?	Plantin, Christian	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p83-97
Persuasive Argumentation Versus Manipulation.	Nettel, Ana Laura; Roque, Georges	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p55-69
Persuasive Paradoxes in Cicero's Speeches.	Kienpointner, Manfred	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p47-64
Peter A. Cramer: Controversy as News Discourse. Springer Science + Business Media, Dordrecht, Heidelberg, London, New York, 2011, Viii + 203 pp. (Book Review).	Rocci, Andrea; Zampa, Marta	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p327-336
Peter Mengel, Analogien als Argumente . Frankfurt am Main, Peter Lang Europäischer Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1995. Europäische Hochschulschriften, Reihe XX Philosophie. (Book Review).	Kloosterhuis, Harm	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p135-138
Peter Ramus.	Walton, Douglas	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p391-392
Petitioning the King: The Case of Provincial Printers in Eighteenth-Century France.	Hansen, Hans V.; McLeod, Jane	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p161-170
Phenomenological Argumentative Structure.	Plumer, Gilbert	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p173-190
Philosophical Argumentation: Logic and Rhetoric.	Frogel, S.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p171-188
Piazza's La retorica di Aristotele: Francesca Piazza, La Retorica di Aristotele, Introduzione alla lettura, Roma, Carocci, 2008. (Book Review).	Capone, Alessandro	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p135-137
Pinto, Robert C. (2001) Argument, Inference and Dialectic. Dordrecht: Kluwer. ISBN 0792370058 (148 pp.). (Book Review).	Mackenzie, Jim	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p507-514
Place as Argument.	Vélez-Posada, Andrés	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p13-23
Place, Image and Argument: The Physical and Nonphysical Dimensions of a Collective Ethos.	Wang, Jianfeng	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 1	p83-99
Plausible Argumentation in Eikotic Arguments: The Ancient Weak Versus Strong Man Example.	Walton, Douglas	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p45-74
Points of View.	Houtlosser, Peter	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 3	p387-406
Poisoning the Well and Epistemic Privilege.	Kotzee, Ben	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p265-281
Poisoning the Well.	Walton, Douglas N.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p273-307
Political Argumentation by Reciting Poems in the Spring and Autumn Period of Ancient China.	Ju, Shi-er; Chen, Zhi-xi; He, Yang	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p9-33
Populism and Informal Fallacies: An Analysis of Right-Wing Populist Rhetoric in Election Campaigns.	Blassnig, Sina; Büchel, Florin; Ernst, Nicole; Engesser, Sven	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p107-136
Potential Conflicts between Normatively-Responsible Advocacy and Successful Social Influence: Evidence from Persuasion Effects Research.	O'Keefe, Daniel J.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p151-163
Poulakos, Takis (1997), Speaking for the Polis. Isocrates' Rhetorical Education. SC: University of	Bons, J.A.E.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p363-364

South Carolina Press. ISBN 1-57003-177-0. (Book Review).					
Practical Arguments for Theoretical Theses.	Lumer, Christoph	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p329-340
Practical Reasoning Arguments: A Modular Approach.	Macagno, Fabrizio; Walton, Douglas	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p519-547
Pragma-Dialectical Analysis and Evaluation of Problem-Solving Discussion.	Rees, M.A. van	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p465-479
Pragma-Dialectical Reconstruction of Crisis Diary-Writing as a Communicative Activity Type.	Svačinová, Iva	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p237-264
Pragma-dialectical Theory and Interpersonal Interaction Outcomes: Unproductive Interpersonal Behavior as Violations of Rules for Critical Discussion.	Weger, Jr., Harry	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p313-330
Pragma-dialectics and Beyond.	Bonevac, Daniel	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p451-459
Pragma-Dialectics and the Function of Argumentation.	Lumer, Christoph	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p41-69
Pragmatic Argumentation in European Practices of Political Accountability.	Andone, Corina	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p1-18
Pragmatic Inconsistency and Credibility.	Laar, J. A. van	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p317-334
Pragmatic Reflexivity in Self-defeating and Self-justifying Expressions.	Morris, Jeremy	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p205-216
Preaching to the Converted. Why Argue When Everyone Agrees?	Doury, Marianne	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 1	p99-114
Precedential Ad Hominem in Polemical Exchange: Examples from the Israeli Political Debate.	Orkibi, Eithan	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p485-499
Preconditions for Normative Argumentation in a Pluralist World.	Graham, Keith	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p471-487
Preface.	Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser, Peter	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p377-380
Presumption as a Modal Qualifier: Presumption, Inference, and Managing Epistemic Risk.	Godden, David	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p485-511
Presumptions and the Distribution of Argumentative Burdens in Acts of Proposing and Accusing.	Kauffeld, Fred J.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p245-266
Presumptions in Speech Acts.	Corredor, Cristina	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p573-589
Presumptions, and How They Relate to Arguments from Ignorance.	Bodlović, Petar	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p579-604
Presumptions, Assumptions, and Presuppositions of Ordinary Arguments.	Plumer, Gilbert	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p469-484
Presumptive Reasoning in Interpretation. Implicatures and Conflicts of Presumptions.	Macagno, Fabrizio	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p233-265
Probative Norms for Multimodal Visual Arguments.	Blair, J. Anthony	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p217-233
Problem-Solving Argumentative Patterns in Plenary Debates of the European Parliament.	Garssen, Bart	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p25-43
Processing Topics from the Beneficial Cognitive Model in Partially and Over-Successful Persuasion Dialogues.	Debowska-Kozłowska, Kamila	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p325-339

Profiles of Dialogue for Evaluating Arguments from Ignorance.	Walton, Douglas	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p53-72
Progress, but Slow Going: Public Argument in the Forging of Collective Norms.	Villadsen, Lisa S.	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p325-337
Proof and Dialogue in Aristotle.	Girle, Roderic A.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p289-316
Proofs, Mathematical Practice and Argumentation.	Carrascal, Begoña	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p305-324
Prototypical Argumentative Patterns in a Legal Context: The Role of Pragmatic Argumentation in the Justification of Judicial Decisions.	Feteris, Eveline T.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 1	p61-79
Public Argument and Civil Society: The Cold War Legacy as a Barrier to Deliberative Politics.	Kane, Thomas	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p107-116
Public Debate – An Act of Hostility?	Jørgensen, Charlotte	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p431-443
Purpose, Argument Fields, and Theoretical Justification.	Rowland, Robert C.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p235-250
Quantifying Doctors' Argumentation in General Practice Consultation Through Content Analysis: Measurement Development and Preliminary Results.	Labrie, Nanon; Schulz, Peter J.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p33-55
Questioning the Virtual Friendship Debate: Fuzzy Analogical Arguments from Classification and Definition.	Laas, Oliver	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p99-149
Quintilian and the Pedagogy of Argument.	Mendelson, Michael	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p277-294
Radiolab's Sound Strategic Maneuvers.	Eckstein, Justin	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p663-680
Ralph H. Johnson (2000), Manifest Rationality. A Pragmatic Theory of Argument.	Rees, M.A.van	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p231-237
Ralph H. Johnson and J. Anthony Blair (eds.) New Essays in Informal Logic, Windsor, Ontario, Canada: Informal Logic, 1994. (Book Review).	Binkley, Robert W.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p259-262
Rational Comprehension of Arguments in Theoretical Texts: A Program for an Argumentative-Linguistic Approach.	Vassiliev, Lev G.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p21-34
Rationality, Reason and the History of Thought.	Bruner, Michael Lane	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p185-208
Rationality, Reasonableness, and Critical Rationalism: Problems with the Pragma-dialectical View.	Siegel, Harvey; Biro, John	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p191-203
Re-contextualising Argumentative Meanings: An Adaptive Perspective.	Nyan, Thanh	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p267-299
Reason, Irrationality and Akrasia (Weakness of the Will) in Buddhism: Reflections upon Śāntideva's Arguments with Himself.	Tillemans, Tom J. F.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p149-163
Reasonable Doubt: A Note on 'Neutral' Illatives and Arguments.	Goddu, G.C.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p243-250
Reasoning and Arguing, Dialectically and Dialogically, Among Individual and Multiple Participants.	Baumtrog, Michael D.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p77-98
Reasoning and Explaining.	Wright, Larry	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p33-46
Reasoning from Classifications and Definitions.	Walton, Douglas; Macagno, Fabrizio	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 1	p81-107

Reasoning Together: Temptations, Dangers, and Cautions.	Campolo, Chris; Turner, Dale	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p3-20
Reasoning with Factors.	Sartor, Giovanni	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p417-432
Reasons for Reason-giving in a Public-Opinion Survey.	Cheng, Martha S.; Johnstone, Barbara	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p401-420
Reasons to Buy: The Logic of Advertisements.	Slade, Christina	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p157-178
Reconsidering Contentious Argument: Augustus DeMorgan on Fallacy.	Secor, Marie J.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p131-144
Reconstructing and Evaluating Genetic Arguments in Judicial Decisions.	Plug, H.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p447-458
Reconstructing Complex Analogy Argumentation in Judicial Decisions: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective.	Kloosterhuis, Harm	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p471-483
Reconstructing Complex Pro/Con Argumentation.	Juthe, André	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p413-454
Reconstructing Interactive Argumentative Discourse.	Sandvik, Margareth	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p419-434
Reconstructing Metaphorical Meaning.	Macagno, Fabrizio; Zavatta, Benedetta	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p453-488
Reconstructing Multimodal Arguments in Advertisements: Combining Pragmatics and Argumentation Theory.	Macagno, Fabrizio ; Pinto, Rosalice	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p141-176
Reductionism in Fallacy Theory.	Lumer, Christoph	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p405-423
Reflective Argumentation: A Cognitive Function of Arguing.	Hoffmann, Michael H. G.	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p365-397
Refutation by Parallel Argument.	Juthe, André	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p133-169
Refutational Strategies in Mencius's Argumentative Discourse on Human Nature.	Yan, Lin-qiong; Ming- hui, Xiong	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p541-578
Regress Argument Reconstruction.	Wieland, Jan Willem	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p489-503
Regulating Disagreement, Constituting Participants: A Critique of Proceduralist Theories of Democracy.	Hicks, Darrin; Langsdorf, Lenore	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p139-160
Rehabilitating AI: Argument Loci and the Case for Artificial Intelligence.	Warnick, Barbara	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p149-170
Rejecting the Urge to Theorise in Fallacy Inquiry.	Cummings, Louise	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p61-94
Relevance of Context-bound loci to Topical Potential in the Argumentation Stage.	Rigotti, Eddo	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p519-540
Representation of Argumentation in Text with Rhetorical Structure Theory.	Green, Nancy L.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p181-196
Resisting Explanation.	Mayes, G.R.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p361-380
Rethinking the Ad Hominem: A Case Study of Chomsky.	Metcalfe, R.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p29-52
Rethinking the Fallacy of Hasty Generalization.	Walton, Douglas	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p161-182

Retraction and Revocation in Agent Deliberation Dialogs.	McBurney, Peter; Parsons, Simon	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 3	p269-289
Retraction Note to: Comments on 'Strategic Manoeuvring with the Intention of the Legislator in the Justification of Judicial Decisions'.	Schulz, Peter J.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p493-493
Retroductive Analogy: How to and How Not to Make Claims of Good Reasons to Believe in Evolutionary and Anti-Evolutionary Hypotheses.	Ward, Chuck; Gimbel, Steven	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p71-84
Returning to the Relations Between Logic and Argumentation, and Other Classic Issues. Manuel Maria Carrilho (ed): La rhétorique CNRS Éditions, Paris, 167 pp. 2012. (Book Review).	Ribeiro, Henrique Jales	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p459-463
Review of Argumentation in Actual Practice: Topical Studies About Argumentative Discourse in Context, eds. Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen. (Book Review).	Burnette, Ann	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p543-545
Review of J. Crosswhite, Deep Rhetoric: Philosophy, Reason, Violence, Justice, Wisdom.	Wagemans, Jean H. M.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p475-479
Review of: Frans H. van Eemeren (2015): Reasonableness and Effectiveness in Argumentative Discourse: Fifty Contributions to the Development of Pragma-Dialectics, Cham (CH), Springer (= Argumentation Library, 27), 880 pp.	Leal, Fernando	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 4	p527-532
Revisiting Accounts of Narrative Explanation in the Sciences: Some Clarifications from Contemporary Argumentation Theory.	Olmos, Paula	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p449-465
Revolutionary Rhetoric: Georg Büchner's "Der Hessische Landbote" (1834) – A Case Study.	Kienpointner, Manfred	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p129-149
Rhetoric and Dialectic from the Standpoint of Normative Pragmatics.	Jacobs, Scott	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p261-286
Rhetoric and Dialectic in the Twenty-First Century.	Leff, Michael	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p241-254
Rhetoric and Dialectic: Some Historical and Legal Perspectives.	Hohmann, Hanns	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p223-234
Rhetoric and the Reception Theory of Rationality in the Work of Two Buddhist Philosophers.	McClintock, Sara L.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p27-41
Rhetoric and the Unconscious.	Billig, Michael	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p199-216
Rhetorical Analysis Within a Pragma-Dialectical Framework.	Eemeren, Frans H. van; Houtlosser, Peter	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 3	p293-305
Rhetorical Argumentation in Italian Academic Discourse.	Amelia, Manuti; Michela, Cortini; Giuseppe, Mininni	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p101-124
Rhetorical Citizenship and the Science of Science Communication.	Fahnestock, Jeanne	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p371-387
Rhetorical Heuristics: Probabilistic Strategies in Complex Oratorical Arguments.	Tahin, Gabor	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p1-21
Rhetorical Perspectives on Argumentation: Selected Essays by David Zarefsky. Heidelberg: Springer, 2014, pp. xix, 265. (Review).	Fahnestock, Jeanne	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p207-211
Rhetorical Structures, Deliberative Ecologies, and the Conditions for Democratic Argumentation.	Danisch, Robert	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p339-353

Richard Andrews, <i>Teaching and Learning Argument</i> , London: Cassell, 1995. 196 p. Cloth. (Book Review).	Linker, Maureen	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p131-134
Richard Starmans (1996), <i>Logic, Argument, and Commonsense</i> . Tilburg: Tilburg University Print. (Book Review).	Woods, John	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p399-401
Rita Kirk Whillock and David Slayden (Eds.). <i>Hate Speech</i> . Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, 1995, 294 + xvi pp. (Book Review).	Benoit, William L.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p381-382
Robert H. Ennis (1996), <i>Critical Thinking</i> . Prentice Hall (ISBN 0-13-374711-5) (xxiii + 407 pp.). (Book Review).	Fisher, Alec	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p48-50
Ronald H. Carpenter, <i>History as Rhetoric: Style, Narrative, and Persuasion</i> . Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1995. 350 pp. N.p. (Book Review).	Finocchiaro, Maurice A.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p263-265
Ruggero Morresi, <i>Argomentazione e dialettica. Tra logica hegeliana e "Nouvelle rhétorique"</i> . Il Calamo, Roma, 1995, 132 pp., £ 24.000. (Book Review).	Cattani, Adelino	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p269-274
Running in Circles about Begging the Question.	Truncellito, D. A.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p325-330
Ruth Amossy et Michel Delon (eds.), <i>Critique et légitimité du préjugé (XVIIIe–XXe siècle)</i> . Collection de philosophie politique et juridique. Bruxelles: Editions de l'Université de Bruxelles, 1999. 190 pp. BF 895/FF 165 (pb.). (Book Review).	Ilie, Cornelia	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p480-485
Schemes, Critical Questions, and Complete Argument Evaluation.	Yu, Shiyang; Zenker, Frank	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p469-498
Schlesinger, Izchak, Keren-Portnoy, Tamar and Parush, Tamar (2001). <i>The Structure of Arguments</i> . Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Co., pp. xix + 263. ISBN 90-272-2359-9. (Book Review).	Vorobej, Mark	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p399-402
Schmetz, Roland (2000). <i>L'argumentation selon Perelman. Pour une raison au cœur de la rhétorique</i> . Presses Universitaires de Namur, 369 pp. (Book Review).	Plantin, Christian	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p127-130
Science and Teaching Reasoning.	Garver, Eugene	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p1-7
Scientific Controversies and the Ethics of Arguing and Belief in the Face of Rational Disagreement.	de Donato Rodríguez, Xavier; Zamora Bonilla, Jesús	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p36-95
Scrutinizing Argumentation in Practice. Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen (eds): Amsterdam, NL: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 342 pp, \$149.00 (USD), Hardcover. (Review).	Weger, Jr., Harry	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p209-211
Searching for the Roots of the Circumstantial Ad Hominem.	Walton, D.N.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p207-222
Sebastian McEvoy (ed.), <i>L'invention défensive. Poétique, linguistique, droit</i> . Paris: Editions Métailié (1995). ISBN 2-86424-203-6 (382 pp). (Book Review).	Brassart, Dominique Guy	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p126-126
Second Order Intersubjectivity: The Dialectical Dimension of Argumentation.	Bermejo-Luque, Lilian	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 1	p85-105
Seeing Reasons: Visual Argumentation in Advertisements.	Slade, Christina	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p145-160
Self-Reporting and the Argumentativeness Scale: An Empirical Examination.	Croucher, Stephen M.; Demaris, Alfred; Diers-Lawson, Audra R.;	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p23-43

	Roper, Shannon				
Semantic Shifts in Argumentative Processes: A Step Beyond the 'Fallacy of Equivocation'.	Deppermann, Arnulf	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p17-30
Shaming in and into Argumentation.	Manolescu, Beth Innocenti	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 4	p379-395
Sharon Bailin and Mark Battersby: Reason in Balance: An Inquiry Approach to Critical Thinking. MacGraw-Hill Ryerson, 2010, 348 pp. (Book Review).	Gratton, Claude	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p93-96
Sharon Bailin and Mark Battersby: Reason in the Balance: An Inquiry Approach to Critical Thinking. 2nd Edition, Hackett Publishing Company, March 2016, 488 pp. (Book Review).	Zhong, Haixia	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 2	p301-305
Shedding Dialectical Tiers: A Social-Epistemic View.	Adler, Jonathan E.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p279-294
Should Visual Arguments be Propositional in Order to be Arguments?	Roque, Georges	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p177-195
Simplement, as a Metalinguistic Operator.	Nyan, T.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p275-295
Sizing Things Up: Colloquial Reflection as Practical Wisdom.	Farrell, Thomas B.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p1-14
Slippery Slopes and Collapsing Taboos.	Woods, John	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p107-134
Sloane, Thomas O. (ed.), Encyclopedia of Rhetoric (2001). New York: Oxford University Press. xii, 837 pp. (Book Review).	Kienpointner, Manfred	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p245-250
Socrates on the Moral Mischief of Misology.	Jacquette, Dale	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p1-17
Solving a Murder Case by Asking Critical Questions: An Approach to Fact-Finding in Terms of Argumentation and Story Schemes.	Bex, Floris; Verheij, Bart	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p325-353
Some Artificial Intelligence Tools for Argument Evaluation: An Introduction.	Walton, Douglas	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p317-340
Sophistry In and As Its Course.	Lieberman, Kenneth	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p59-70
Speaking Your Mind: Large Inarticulateness Constitutional and Circumstantial.	Woods, John	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p59-80
Special Issue on Strategic Maneuvering in Institutional Contexts Dedicated to Peter Houtlosser (1956–2008).	Eemeren, F. H. van	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p305-315
Special Section on Argumentation and Paradoxes. Introduction.	Kienpointner, Manfred	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p43-46
Speech Acts and Indirect Threats in Ad Baculum Arguments. A Reply to Budzynska and Witek. Comment to: Non-Inferential Aspects of Ad Hominem and Ad Baculum.	Walton, Douglas	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p317-324
Speech Acts in a Dialogue Game Formalisation of Critical Discussion.	Visser, Jacky	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p245-266
Splitting a Difference of Opinion: The Shift to Negotiation.	Laar, J. A. van; Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p329-350
Standing Standpoints and Argumentative Associates: What is at Stake in a Public Political Argument?	Mohammed, Dima	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p307-322
State-of-the-Art: The Structure of Argumentation.	Snoeck Henkemans, A. Francisca	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p447-473

Stati, Sorin, Principi di analisi argomentativa (2002). Bologna: Patron. 180 pp. (Book Review).	Capone, Alessandro	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 3	p347-350
Steep Cliff Arguments.	Suits, David B.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 2	p127-138
Steve Oswald, Thierry Herman and Jérôme Jacquin (eds.): Argumentation and Language-Linguistic, Cognitive and Discursive Explorations. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Cham, 2018, Volume 32 of the Argumentation Library, viii+299 pp, \$67.28, ISBN: 1566-7650. (Book Review).	Yuan, Xinhua	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 4	p611-616
Story Problems: Where Do the Agonists of the Dialogue Model of Argument Interact?	Cramer, Peter	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p129-144
Strategic Maneuvering in Direct to Consumer Drug Advertising: A Study in Argumentation Theory and New Institutional Theory.	Goodnight, G. Thomas	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p359-371
Strategic Maneuvering in Mathematical Proofs.	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p453-468
Strategic Maneuvering in Political Argumentation.	Zarefsky, David	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p317-330
Strategic Maneuvering in Treatment Decision-Making Discussions: Two Cases in Point.	Labrie, Nanon	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p171-199
Strategic Maneuvering through Persuasive Definitions: Implications for Dialectic and Rhetoric.	Zarefsky, David	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p399-416
Strategic Maneuvering with Dissociation.	Rees, M.A. van	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p473-487
Strategic Maneuvering with the Intention of the Legislator in the Justification of Judicial Decisions.	Feteris, Eveline T.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 3	p335-353
Strategic Maneuvering: A Synthetic Recapitulation.	Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser, Peter	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 4	p381-392
Strategic Manoeuvring by Dissociation in Corporate Crisis Communication: The Case of the 2017 United Airlines' Passenger Dragging-Off Incident.	Feng, Jieyun; Zhao, Fan; Feng, Aiqing	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p321-338
Strategies of Character Attack.	Macagno, Fabrizio	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p369-401
Strategies of Visual Argumentation in Slideshow Presentations: The Role of the Visuals in an AI Gore Presentation on Climate Change.	Kjeldsen, Jens E.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p425-443
Straw Men, Weak Men, and Hollow Men.	Aikin, Scott; Casey, John	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p87-105
Structured Arguments and Their Aggregation: A Reply to Selinger.	Reed, Chris	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p395-399
Styles of Rejection in Local Public Argument on Iraq.	Dimock, Aaron	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p423-452
Subordinating Truth – Is Acceptability Acceptable?	Boger, George	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p187-238
Systematizing Toulmin's Warrants: An Epistemic Approach.	Freeman, James B.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p331-346
Tarla Rai Peterson, Sharing the Earth: the Rhetoric of Sustainable Development, University of South Carolina Press, 1997. ISBN 1-57003-173-8 (238 pp.). (Book Review).	Farrell, Thomas B.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p402-406
Teaching Argument Through Relationships.	Keith, William; Mountford, Roxanne; Steffensmeier,	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p355-369

	Timothy				
Teaching by Convincing: Strategies of Argumentation in Lectures.	Cros, Anna	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 2	p191-206
Teaching Subtlety of Thought: The Lessons of 'Contextualism'.	Turner, Stephen	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p77-95
Teleological Justification of Argumentation Schemes.	Walton, Douglas; Sartor, Giovanni	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p111-142
The 'Passes-For' Fallacy and the Future of Critical Thinking.	Goodwin, William	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p363-374
The Aggressiveness of Playful Arguments.	Hample, Dale; Han, Bing; Payne, David	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 4	p405-421
The Ancient Argumentative Game: τόποι and loci in Action.	Rubinelli, Sara	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p253-272
The Appeal to Ignorance, or Argumentum Ad Ignorantiam.	Walton, Douglas	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p367-377
The Argumentative Reconstruction of Multimodal Discourse, Taking the ABC Coverage of President Hu Jintao's Visit to the USA as an Example.	Hoven, Paul van den; Yang, Ying	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 4	p403-424
The Arguments of the Tombs of the Unknown: Relationality and National Legitimation.	Blair, Carole; Balthrop, V.; Michel, Neil	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p449-468
The Assessment of Argumentation from Expert Opinion.	Wagemans, Jean H. M.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p329-339
The Burden of Criticism: Consequences of Taking a Critical Stance.	Laar, J. A. van ; Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 2	p201-224
The Burden of Proof and Its Role in Argumentation.	Hahn, Ulrike; Oaksford, Mike	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p39-61
The Burden of Proof in Philosophical Persuasion Dialogue.	Rhode, Conny	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p535-554
The Cardinal Principles of the National Entity of Japan: A Rhetoric of Ideological Pronouncement.	Suzuki, Takeshi	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p251-266
The Challenger Disaster And The Revival Of Rhetoric In Organizational Life.	Gross, Alan G.; Walzer, Arthur	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p75-93
The Common Topic in Aristotle's Rhetoric: Precursor of the Argumentation Scheme.	Braet, A. C.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p65-83
The Comparative Set Fallacy.	Dougherty, M. V.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 2	p213-222
The Concept of Scientific Fact: Perelman and Beyond.	Livnat, Zohar	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p375-386
The Conjunction of a French Rhetoric of Unity with a Competing Nationalism in New Caledonia: A Critical Discourse Analysis.	Lecompte-Van Poucke, Margo	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 3	p351-395
The Construction of Argumentation in Judicial Texts: Combining a Genre and a Corpus Perspective.	Mazzi, Davide	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p21-38
The Construction of Issues: Pleading Theory and Practice, Relevance in Pragmatics, and the Confrontation Stage in the Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation.	McEvoy, Sebastian	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p43-52
The Development of the Pragma-dialectical Approach to Argumentation.	Eemeren, F. H. van; Houtlosser,	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p387-403

	Peter				
The Diagnostic Power of the Stages of Critical Discussion in the Analysis and Evaluation of Problem-Solving Discussions.	Rees, M.A. van	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p457-470
The Dilemma of Normativity: How to Interpret a Rational Reconstruction?	Hoven, Paul van den	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p411-417
The Economic, Political, Strategic, and Rhetorical Uses of Simple Constructive Dilemma in Legal Argument.	Scofield, R.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p1-14
The Effectiveness of Argumentative Strategies.	Jovičić, Taeda	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p29-58
The Elusive Notion of “Argument Quality”.	Hoffmann, Michael H. G.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p213-240
The Fake, the Flimsy, and the Fallacious: Demarcating Arguments in Real Life.	Boudry, Maarten; Paglieri, Fabio; Pigliucci, Massimo	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p431-456
The Fallaciousness of Threats: Character and Ad Baculum.	Walton, Douglas; Macagno, Fabrizio	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p63-81
The Fallacy of Many Questions: On the Notions of Complexity, Loadedness and Unfair Entrapment in Interrogative Theory.	Walton, Douglas	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 4	p379-383
The Final Days: The Development of Argumentative Discourse in the Soviet Union.	Young, Marilyn J.; Launer, Michael K.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p443-458
The First Dogma of Logical Negativism.	Hattiangadi, Jagdish N.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p165-178
The Formalization of Critical Discussion.	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p101-119
The Gospel of Matthew as a Literary Argument.	Hietanen, Mika	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 1	p63-86
The Greek Roots of the Ad Hominem-Argument.	Chichi, Graciela Marta	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p333-348
The Influence of Argumentative Role (Initiator vs. Resistor) on Perceptions of Serial Argument Resolvability and Relational Harm.	Johnson, Kristen Linnea	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 1	p1-16
The Ingredients of Aristotle's Theory of Fallacy.	Hasper, Pieter Sjoerd	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p31-47
The Kisceral: Reason and Intuition in Argumentation.	Gilbert, Michael A.	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p163-170
The Last Straw Fallacy: Another Causal Fallacy and Its Harmful Effects.	Cusick, Carolyn; Peter, Mark	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 4	p457-474
The Legitimacy Crisis of Arguments from Expert Opinion: Can't We Trust Experts?	Liao, Yanlin	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 2	p265-286
The Limits of Explication.	Scriven, Michael	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 1	p47-58
The Limits of the Dialogue Model of Argument.	Blair, J. Anthony	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p325-339
The Logic of Analogy in the Law.	Hage, Jaap	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p401-415
The Logic of Critique.	Irlandoust, Hengameh	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p133-148

The Logical Evaluation of Arguments.	Botting, David	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 2	p167-180
The Lvov-Warsaw School as a Source of Inspiration for Argumentation Theory.	Koszowy, Marcin; Araszkievicz, Michał	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p283-300
The Moral Dilemmas Debate, Deontic Logic, and the Impotence of Argument.	Weber, Todd Bernard	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p459-472
The Nature and Functions of Loci in Agricola's De Inventione Dialectica.	Rigotti, Eddo	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 1	p19-37
The Nature and the Place of Presumptions in Law and Legal Argumentation.	Gama, Raymundo	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 3	p555-572
The New Philosophy of Science and Its Lessons.	Depew, David	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 1	p9-20
The New Rhetoric's Concept of Universal Audience, Misconceived.	Sigler, J. E.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p325-349
The New Rhetoric's Inheritance. Argumentation and Discourse Analysis.	Amossy, Ruth	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p313-324
The Newspaper as an Epideictic Meeting Point.	López Pan, Fernando	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p285-303
The Normative Structure of Adjudicative Dialogue.	Norman, A.P.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p489-498
The Normative, the Proper, and the Sublime: Notes on the Use of Figure and Emotion in Prophetic Argument.	Zulick, Margaret D.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 4	p481-492
The Notion of Pseudo-Argument in Perelman's Thought.	Danblon, Emmanuelle	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p351-359
The Oldest Typology of Argumentation Schemes.	Braet, Antoine C.	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 1	p127-148
The Organization of Justificatory Discourse in Interaction: A Comparison Within and Across Cultures.	Warnick, Barbara; Manusov, Valerie	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 4	p381-404
The Passover Haggadah as Argument, Or Why Is This Text Different from Other Texts?	Zemel, Alan	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 1	p57-78
The Pernicious Effects of Compression Plagiarism on Scholarly Argumentation.	Dougherty, M. V.	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p391-412
The Polish School of Argumentation: A Manifesto.	Budzynska, Katarzyna; Araszkievicz, Michał; Bogołębska, Barbara [et al].	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p267-282
The Port-Royal Logic's Theory of Argument.	Finocchiaro, Maurice A.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p393-410
The Practice of Argumentative Discussion.	Hitchcock, David	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 3	p287-298
The Pragma-Dialectical Analysis and Evaluation of Teleological Argumentation in a Legal Context.	Feteris, Eveline	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 4	p489-506
The Pragma-Dialectical Theory Under Discussion.	Eemeren, Frans H. van	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p439-457
The Problem of Unconceived Objections.	Mizrahi, Moti	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 4	p425-436
The Promise of Reason: The New Rhetoric after 50 Years.	Gage, J.T.	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 1	p1-1
The Public Dimension Of Scientific Controversies.	Czubaroff, Jeanine	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p51-74

The Rational Reconstruction of Argumentation Referring to Consequences and Purposes in the Application of Legal Rules: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective.	Feteris, Eveline	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p459-470
The Rational Reconstruction of Complex Forms of Legal Argumentation: Approaches from Artificial Intelligence and Law and Pragma-Dialectics.	Feteris, Eveline	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 4	p393-400
The Relation between Formal and Informal Logic.	Johnson, Ralph H.	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 3	p265-274
The Relevance of Intention in Argument Evaluation.	Jørgensen, Charlotte	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p165-174
The Revelation Argument. A 'Communicational Fallacy'.	Rühl, Marco	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p73-96
The Rhetoric of Thick Representation: How Pictures Render the Importance and Strength of an Argument Salient.	Kjeldsen, Jens E.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p197-215
The Role of Advocacy in Civil Society.	Zompetti, J. P.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p167-183
The Role of Argument in Negotiation.	Laar, Jan Albert van; Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 4	p549-567
The Role of Public Argument in Emerging Democracies: A Case Study of the 12 December 1993 Elections in the Russian Federation.	Williams, David Cratis; Ishiyama, John T.; Young, Marilyn J.; Launer, Michael K.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p179-194
The Role of Rhetoric in Rational Argumentation.	Rescher, Nicholas	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p315-324
The Search for Grounds in Legal Argumentation: A Rhetorical Analysis of Texas vs Johnson.	Balter, S.J.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p381-395
The Space of Argumentation: Urban Design, Civic Discourse, and the Dream of the Good City.	Fleming, David	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p147-166
The Straw Thing of Fallacy Theory: The Standard Definition of 'Fallacy'.	Hansen, Hans Vilhelm	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p133-156
The Structure of Arguments by Analogy in Law.	Duarte d'Almeida, Luís; Michelin, Cláudio	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p359-393
The Study of Metaphor in Argumentation Theory.	Poppel, Lotte van	2021	Vol. 35	Issue 1	p177-208
The Study of Visual and Multimodal Argumentation.	Kjeldsen, Jens E.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 2	p115-132
The Sunk Costs Fallacy or Argument from Waste.	Walton, Douglas	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p473-504
The Thesis of the Effectiveness of Quasi-logical Arguments.	Svačinová, Iva	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 1	p75-106
The Topoi from the Greater, the Lesser and the Same Degree: An Essay on the σύγκρισις in Aristotle's Topics.	Gambra Gutiérrez, José Miguel	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 4	p413-437
The Toulmin Model Today: Introduction to the Special Issue on Contemporary Work using Stephen Edelston Toulmin's Layout of Arguments.	Hitchcock, David; Verheij, Bart	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p255-258
The Unchangeable Judicial Formats.	Hoven, Paul van den	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p499-511

The Use of the Script Concept in Argumentation Theory.	Olmos, Paula; Vega, Luis	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p415-426
The Uses of Argument in Communicative Contexts.	Pinto, Robert C.	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p227-252
The Uses of Argument in Mathematics.	Aberdein, Andrew	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p287-301
The Value of Topoi.	Zompetti, J.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p15-28
The Vicious Circle Theorem – a Graph-Theoretical Analysis of Dialectical Structures.	Betz, Gregor	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p53-64
The Ways of Criticism.	Krabbe, Erik C. W.; Laar, Jan Albert van	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 2	p199-227
Theoretical Considerations for the Articulation of Emotion and Argumentation in the Arguer: A Proposal for Emotion Regulation in Deliberation.	González, Manuela; Gómez, Julder; Lemos, Mariantonia	2019	Vol. 33	Issue 3	p349-364
Think Before You Speak: Utterances and the Logic of Indexicals.	Predelli, Stefano	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 4	p445-463
Thomas F. Gordon, The pleadings game. An artificial intelligence model of procedural justice. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995. (Book Review).	Stenning, Keith	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p144-148
Tindale, Christopher W. (2000). Acts of Arguing: A Rhetorical Model of Argument. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press. ISBN 0791443884 (245 pp.). (Book Review).	Willard, Charles Arthur	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p505-506
Topical Roots of Formal Dialectic.	Krabbe, Erik C. W.	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 1	p71-87
Toulmin's Logical Types.	Botting, David	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p433-449
Toulmin's Model and the Solving of Ill-Structured Problems.	Voss, James F.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p321-329
Toward an Alliance Between the Issue-Processing Approach and Pragma-Dialectical Analysis.	Braybrooke, David	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p513-535
Towards a Critique-Friendly Approach to the Straw Man Fallacy Evaluation.	Lewiński, Marcin	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 4	p469-497
Towards a Theory of Close Analysis for Dispute Mediation Discourse.	Janier, Mathilde; Reed, Chris	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p45-82
Towards Formal Representation and Evaluation of Arguments.	Selinger, Marcin	2014	Vol. 28	Issue 3	p379-393
Transcendental Arguments and Practical Reason in Indian Philosophy.	Arnold, Dan	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p135-147
Translating Toulmin Diagrams: Theory Neutrality in Argument Representation.	Reed, Chris; Rowe, Glenn	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 3	p267-286
Transmuted Expertise: How Technical Non-Experts Can Assess Experts and Expertise.	Collins, Harry; Weinel, Martin	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p401-413
Two Forms of the Straw Man.	Talisse, Robert; Aikin, Scott	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 3	p345-352
Two Sides of Any Issue.	Jacquette, Dale	2007	Vol. 21	Issue 2	p115-127
Unacceptable Generalizations in Arguments on Legal Evidence.	Dahlman, Christian	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 1	p83-99
Underlying Assumptions of Examining Argumentation Rhetorically.	Zarefsky, David	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 3	p297-309

Unintelligibility or Defeat: The Issue of Engagement in Philosophical Debates.	Yameng Liu, L.A.	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p479-491
Using Argumentative Tools to Understand Inner Dialogue.	Greco, Sara	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 2	p331-358
Using Toulmin's Framework for the Analysis of Everyday Argumentation: Some Methodological Considerations.	Simosi, Maria	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p185-202
Validity and Effectiveness of Ambiguity: A Famous Argument by Socrates.	Giaretta, Pierdaniele; Spolaore, Giuseppe	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p393-407
Variation in the Use of Pronouns as a Function of the Topic of Argumentation in Young Writers Aged 11 Years.	Auriac, Emmanuèle	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 2	p273-290
Vega Reñón, Luis (2003): Si de argumentar se trata. [If to argue is what matters]. Barcelona: Montesinos/Biblioteca de Divulgación Temática 76. 305 pp.	Sagüillo, José	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p129-132
Virtue in Argument.	Aberdein, Andrew	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p165-179
Virtuous Arguers: Responsible and Reliable.	Gascón, José Ángel	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 2	p155-173
Virtuous Norms for Visual Arguers.	Aberdein, Andrew	2018	Vol. 32	Issue 1	p1-23
Visual Arguments in Film.	Alcolea-Banegas, Jesús	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p259-275
W. Peter Robinson, Arguing to Better Conclusions. A Human Odyssey. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Mahwah, New Jersey, 2006, ISBN:0-8058-5951-9. (Book Review).	Gratton, Claude	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 2	p291-292
Walton, D.N. (1997), Appeal to Expert Opinion: Arguments from Authority. University Park: Penn State Press, pp. xiv–281. ISBN 0-271-01695-7.	Vorobej, Mark	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 2	p251-254
Walton, Douglas (1998). Ad Hominem Arguments. Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press. (Book Review).	Woods, John	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p503-507
Walton, Douglas (ed.), Fallacies Arising from Ambiguity (1996). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, xiv + 293 pp. ISBN: 0792341007. Dfl. 195.00; US\$ 130.00; UK£ 88.00. (Book Review).	Mackenzie, Jim	1999	Vol. 13	Issue 1	p115-118
Walton, Douglas N. and Erik C. W. Krabbe, Commitment in Dialogue. Basic Concepts of Interpersonal Reasoning. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1995. (Book Review).	Starmans, Richard	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 1	p139-143
Walton's Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning: A Critique and Development.	Blair, J. Anthony	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 4	p365-379
Weinstein, Mark: Logic, Truth and Inquiry. College Publications, London, 2013. (Review).	Freeman, J. B.	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 3	p361-377
Werner Schmidt-Faber (2003). Einerseits –Andererseits. Untersuchungen zur Konfrontation normativer Argumente. Dissertation/Fern-Universität Hagen, 264 pp. (Book Review).	Kienpointner, Manfred	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 1	p115-121
What Are We to Think about Thought Experiments?	Souder, Lawrence	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 2	p203-218
What is a Sophistical Refutation?	Botting, David	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 2	p213-232
What is at Issue in Argumentation? Judgment in the Hellenistic Doctrine of Krinomenon.	Theodorakakou, A.	2005	Vol. 19	Issue 2	p239-250

What is Debate for? The Rationality of Tibetan Debates and the Role of Humor.	Dreyfus, Georges B.	2008	Vol. 22	Issue 1	p43-58
What is the Reason for This Rule? An Inferential Account of the Ratio Legis.	Canale, Damiano; Tuzet, Giovanni	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 2	p197-210
What Students' Arguments Can Tell Us: Using Argumentation Schemes in Science Education.	Macagno, Fabrizio; Konstantinidou, Aikaterini	2013	Vol. 27	Issue 3	p225-243
What Types of Statements are There?	Freeman, James B.	2000	Vol. 14	Issue 2	p135-158
What's So Funny About Arguing with God? A Case for Playful Argumentation from Jewish Literature.	Waisanen, Don; Friedman, Hershey H.; Friedman, Linda Weiser	2015	Vol. 29	Issue 1	p57-80
What's Wrong with Argumentum ad Baculum? Reasons, Threats, and Logical Norms.	Kimball, Robert	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 1	p89-100
Whataboutisms and Inconsistency.	Barceló Aspeitia, Axel Arturo	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 4	p433-447
When Experts Argue: Explaining the Best and the Worst of Reasoning.	Mercier, Hugo	2011	Vol. 25	Issue 3	p313-327
When Is Genetic Reasoning Not Fallacious?	Klement, Kevin C.	2002	Vol. 16	Issue 4	p383-400
Who is Afraid of Figure of Speech?	Krabbe, Erik C.W.	1998	Vol. 12	Issue 2	p281-294
Who is the Addressee of Philosophical Argumentation?	Frogel, Shai	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 3	p397-408
Who Needs Valid Moral Arguments? (Dedicated to the Memory of R.M. Chisholm, 1916–1999).	Nelson, Mark T.	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 1	p35-42
Why Images Cannot be Arguments, But Moving Ones Might.	Champagne, Marc; Pietarinen, Ahti-Veikko	2020	Vol. 34	Issue 2	p207-236
Why Logic Doesn't Matter in the (Philosophical) Study of Argumentation.	Heysse, Tim	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 2	p211-224
Why Simpler Arguments are Better.	Mizrahi, Moti	2016	Vol. 30	Issue 3	p247-261
William M. Purcell, <i>Ars poetriae. Rhetorical and Grammatical Invention at the Margin of Literacy</i>, Columbia, South Carolina: University of South Carolina Press, 1996. vii; 193 pp. (Book Review).	Poel, M. van der	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 4	p501-504
Wilson on Circular Arguments.	Ritola, J.	2001	Vol. 15	Issue 3	p295-312
Within Pragma-dialectics: Comments on Bonevac.	Rees, M.A. van	2003	Vol. 17	Issue 4	p461-464
Woods, John (2001). <i>Aristotle's Earlier Logic</i>. Oxford: Hermes Science, xiv + 216 pp. ISBN 1-903398-20-5. (Book Review).	Hitchcock, David	2004	Vol. 18	Issue 3	p387-394
Words and Images in Argumentation.	Barceló Aspeitia, Axel Arturo	2012	Vol. 26	Issue 3	p355-368
Wrenching from Context: The Manipulation of Commitments.	Walton, Douglas; Macagno, Fabrizio	2010	Vol. 24	Issue 3	p283-317
Written Argumentation by a 10-Year-Old Pupil in Sweden.	Nestlog, Ewa Bergh	2009	Vol. 23	Issue 4	p437-449

Yet Another Run around the Circle.	Ritola, J.	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p237-244
You Think That Says a Lot, but Really it Says Nothing': An Argumentative and Linguistic Account of an Idiomatic Expression Functioning as a Presentational Device.	Jansen, Henrike	2017	Vol. 31	Issue 4	p615-640
You're Being Unreasonable': Prior and Passing Theories of Critical Discussion.	Richardson, John; Atkin, Albert	2006	Vol. 20	Issue 2	p149-166
Zenon Bankowski, Ian White and Ulrike Hahn (eds). Informatics and the Foundations of Legal Reasoning. Law and Philosophy Library, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1995. 374 pages. (Book Reviews).	Prakken, Henry	1997	Vol. 11	Issue 3	p377-380